

Solihull MBC Local Plan DPD Regulation 27 Publication Document Response Form Guidance Note

The Development Plan Document (DPD) is published in order for representations to be made prior to submission to the Secretary of State. The representations will be considered alongside the published DPD when submitted, which will be examined by a Planning Inspector. The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (the 2004 Act) states that the purpose of the examination is to consider whether the DPD a) legally compliant and b) sound.

Legal compliance – If you are seeking to make representations on the way in which the Council prepared the published DPD, it is likely that your comments will relate to a matter of legal compliance.

Soundness – If it is the actual content on which you wish to make a comment or object to, it is likely that your representation will relate to whether the DPD is sound, based on the three tests of soundness: justified; effective and consistent with national policy.

Legal compliance

The Inspector will first check that the DPD meets the legal requirements under s20(5)(a) of the 2004 Act before moving on to test for soundness.

- The DPD in question should be within the current Local Development Scheme. The LDS should be on the LPA's website and available at their main offices.
- The process of community involvement for the DPD in question should be in general accordance with the LPA's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI), which sets out the LPA's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of documents for the Local Development Framework.
- The DPD should comply with the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England Regulations) 2004 as amended. On publication, the LPA must publish the documents prescribed in the Regulations, and make them available at their principal offices and on their website. The LPA must also place local advertisements and notify the DPD bodies (as set out in the Regulations) and any persons who have requested to be notified.
- The LPA is required to provide a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report when they publish a DPD. This should identify the process by which the SA has been carried out, and the baseline information

used to inform the process and the outcomes of that process. SA is a tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect social, environmental and economic factors.

- The DPD should have regard to national policy and conform generally to the Regional Spatial Strategy. The RSS has been revoked, but not yet abolished through the legislation of the Localism Act.
- The DPD must have regard to any Sustainable Community Strategy for its area.

Soundness

To be sound a DPD should be:

- **Justified**

This means that the DPD should be founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:

- Evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
- Research/fact-finding: the choices made in the plan are backed by the facts

The DPD should also provide the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives. These alternatives should be realistic and subject to sustainability appraisal. The DPD should show how the policies and proposals help to ensure that the social, environmental, economic and resource use of objectives of sustainability will be achieved.

- **Effective**

This means that the DPD should be deliverable, flexible and able to be monitored.

Deliverability embraces:

- Sound infrastructure delivery planning
- Having no regulatory to national planning barriers to delivery
- Delivery partners who are signed up to it
- Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities

The DPD should indicate who is to be responsible for making sure that the policies and proposals happen and when they will happen. The plan should be flexible to deal with changing circumstances, which may involve minor changes to respond to the outcome of the monitoring process or more significant changes to respond to problems such as lack of funding for major infrastructure proposals. Although it is important that policies are flexible, the DPD should make clear that major changes may require a formal review including public consultation.

Any measures which the LPA has included to make sure that targets are met should be clearly linked to an Annual Monitoring Report. This report must be produced each year by all local authorities and will show whether the DPD needs amendment.

- **Consistent with National Policy**

The DPD should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, LPAs must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach. Conversely, you may feel the LPA should include a

policy or policies which would depart from national or regional policy to some degree in order to meet a clearly identified and fully justified local need, but they have not done so. In this instance it will be important for you to say in your representations what the local circumstances are that justify a different policy approach to that in national or regional policy and support your assertion with evidence.

If you think the content of a DPD is not sound because it does not include a policy where it should do, you should go through the following steps before making representations:

- Is the issue with which you are concerned already covered specifically by any national planning policy? If so it does not need to be included.
- Is what you are concerned with covered by any other policies in the DPD on which you are seeking to make representations or in any other DPD in the LPA's Local Development Framework (LDF). There is no need for repetition between documents in the LDF.
- If the policy is not covered elsewhere, in what way is the DPD unsound without the policy? [?](#)
- If the DPD is unsound without the policy, what should the policy say?

General advice

If you wish to make a representation seeking a change to a DPD or part of a DPD you should make clear in what way the DPD or part of the DPD is not sound having regard to the legal compliance check and three tests set out above. You should try to support your representation by evidence showing why the DPD should be changed. It will be helpful if you also say precisely how you think the DPD should be changed.

Representations should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further submissions based on the original representation made at publication. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Where there are groups who share a common view on how they wish to see a DPD changed, it would be very helpful for that group to send a single representation which represents the view, rather than for a large number of individuals to send in separate representations which repeat the same points. In such cases the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the representation has been authorised.

Further detailed guidance on the preparation, publication and examination of DPDs is provided in *Planning Policy Statement 12: Local Spatial Planning*¹ and in *The Plan Making Manual*².

¹ View at <http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/pps12lsp>

² View at <http://www.pas.gov.uk/pas/core/page.do?pageId=5139>