

	Site Code	Site 1			
Site details	Address	Barretts Farm			
	Area	91 Hectares			
	Current Land Use		ltural		
		Greenfield/Agricultural			
	Proposed Land Use	Residential			
	Location of site within catchment	This large site located to the east of Balsall Common in the River Blythe catchment. The upstream extents of the Bayley's Brook converge on the site and flow north westwards towards the River Blythe.			
	Existing drainage features	Two upstream extents of the Bayley's Brook, a tributary of the River Blythe converge and flow from the south east to the north west of the site. A portion of eastern watercourse is culverted just upstream of the confluence and there is also a short drain located along the eastern site boundary that flows into the eastern watercourse. After the confluence, the Bayley's Brook flows in a north westerly direction through the site, under the railway line located along the northern site boundary and towards the River Blythe, which is approximately 4.5km downstream.			
			Proportion	n of Site at Risk	
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1
		11.3%	12.0%	13.0%	87.0%
		Highest Z	•		Rivers and Sea)
		Ar	Majority of site - Very Low Area around the Bayley's Brook– Medium to High		
		The % Flood Zone Flood Zone/even	es quoted show the t, including the per 22 includes the FZ3	% of the site at flood centage of the site	d risk from that particular at flood risk at a higher
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	watercourses associated with this site using TU strategic modelling are discussed in the SFRA Str summarised in the Mapping Information section at Survey data, including dimensions and invert legislater under the Birmingham – Coventry railway boundary. This survey data has been incorporate		site using TÜFLO the SFRA Strategi ion section at the e and invert levels, oventry railway lin en incorporated in	W. Limitations of the c Modelling Report and nd of this table. were collected for the e on the northern site to the strategic model.
		site boundary and these structures h	the located to the east of Balsall Common in the River Blythe the upstream extents of the Bayley's Brook converge on the site and stwards towards the River Blythe. In extents of the Bayley's Brook, a tributary of the River Blythe, if flow from the south east to the north west of the site. A portion of recourse is culverted just upstream of the confluence and there is drain located along the eastern site boundary that flows into the recourse. If the located along the eastern site boundary that flows into the recourse. If the located along the eastern site boundary that flows into the recourse. If the River Blythe, which is approximately 4.5km downstream. Proportion of Site at Risk FZ3a FZ2 FZ1 12.0% 13.0% ST.0% ST.0% ST.0% ST.0% Area around the Bayley's Brook – Medium to High Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site at flood risk at a higher of the site of th		
		risk across the s	delling shows that ti	low paths of the u	pstream extents of the
		and 1000 year of watercourses ups	events. However, f stream of the conflu	lood extents are s ence in the 100 and	slightly greater on both d 1000 year events.
		Flood depths are most significant towards the northern corner of t events, where greater than 1.0m of flooding is modelling in some a depths are significantly shallower upstream of the central conflue modelling shows depths to be largely less than 0.1m.			
		Culverts under the Birmingham – Coventry railway and former Berkswell – Kenilworth railway line (now a Country Park known as Kenilworth Greenway but also part of the route of HS2) affect the flood risk to the site.			
		specific assessm	ent, that further ir	formation on the	uture via a detailed site- channel and floodplain nechanisms of the area.



	Sita Cada	Sito 1			
	Site Code Site 1				
	Address	Barretts Farm			
Site details	Area	91 Hectares			
	Current Land Use	Greenfield/Agricultural			
	Proposed Land Use	Residential			
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)			
		30-year High Risk	100-year Medium Risk	1,000-year Low Risk	
		3%	5.4%	13.6%	
			Max depths (m)		
		0.3 – 0.9m	> 0.9m	> 0.9m	
			Max velocity (m/s)		
		>0.25	>0.25	>0.25	
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %).			
	Surface Water Description of surface water flow paths: There are surface water flow paths corresponding with the Bayley's Brook flows north westwards through the site. There are also flow paths associated drain that flows along the eastern site boundary. The mapping is likely picking up the natural floodplains but not likely to be picking up howatercourse is culverted under the railway. In the 30 year event, small, isolated areas of surface water ponding are moalong the identified watercourses, with depths less than 0.3m. In the north site, just upstream of the northern site boundary, there is a larger area of swater flooding shown. Flood depths here could reach 0.3 to 0.9m in the 3 event. In the 100 year event, surface water flooding is modelled along each watercourses present, with some isolated pooling in the south of the sit along the eastern drain. The flooding is still modelled to be largely less 0.3m in depth. The main area of surface water pooling is slightly more extending this event and depths could be greater than 0.9m in some areas. In the 1000 year event, surface water flood extents are slightly greater the 100 year event. Some additional flow paths are also seen in the north site. Flood depths on the watercourses are still modelled to be less thar with isolated areas of deeper flooding. In the main area of surface water flood a larger area is modelled to reach depths on greater than 0.9m. Reservoir The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from the available maps.		flow paths corresponding prough the site. There are the eastern site boundary floodplains but not like it under the railway. The second	also flow paths associated the 7. The mapping is likely to be by to be picking up how the 1. The mapping is likely to be by to be picking up how the 1. The modelled are modelled as than 0.3m. In the north of the there is a larger area of surface each 0.3 to 0.9m in the 30 year are modelled along each of the 1. The south of the 1. The south of the 1. The surface in 0.9m in some areas. The 1. The modelled to be less than 0.3m area of surface water flooding,	
			oding from the available online		
	Groundwater	provided as 1km grid square flood emergence. The flood risk: The northern and to groundwater flood The western port groundwater flood This assessment does	uares, shows the susceptifollowing comments can eastern portions of the si od emergence from superion of the site has a >= emergence from superfice not negate the requ	= 25% <50% susceptibility to sial deposits. irement that an appropriate	
				carried out at the site specific	



	I	1			
	Site Code	Site 1			
Site details	Address	Barretts Farm			
	Area	91 Hectares			
	Current Land Use	Greenfield/Agricultural			
	Proposed Land Use	Residential			
One event, on the 24 th which runs along the way 21 st November 2012 on northern site boundary Lane on the underpast Some flood incidents in		One event, on the 24 th N which runs along the we 21 st November 2012 on northern site boundary. Lane on the underpass	November 2012, was recestern site boundary. The Truggist Lane under the There is relatively frequer under the railway line.	ntified in datasets from SMBC. orded on Meeting House Lane second event was recorded on railway bridge, just outside the t and deep flooding to Truggist the site. The following historic	
		Kenilworth Road to	the north east – in 1998 o the west – 4 clustered i		
		Ponding of water has be Truggist Lane.	en observed on the site l	peside the public footpath near	
		Defence Type	Standard of Protection	Condition	
	Defences	-	-	-	
		This site is not protected	by any formal flood defe	nces.	
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	There are two main culverts that may impact the site if blockages were to occur. The first is located on the northern site boundary where the watercourse flows northwards under the Birmingham to Coventry railway line. There is also a culvert located on the second watercourse just upstream of the central confluence, under the Kenilworth Greenway and route of HS2. If these structures were to become blocked, there is potential for increased surface water and fluvial flooding across the site. The potential for blockage will need to be considered in any future site-specific assessment.			
				ered in any future site-specific	
	Flood warning	the River Blythe in Warw	ickshire Flood Alert area	ered in any future site-specific ey's Brook is contained within 033WAF302). This Flood Alert en Cheswick Green and Blyth	



	Site Code	Site 1	
Site details	Address	Barretts Farm	
	Area	91 Hectares	
	Current Land Use	Greenfield/Agricultural	
	Proposed Land Use	Residential	
		In the 100 and 1000 year events, surface water flooding on Station Road, Meeting House Lane and Waste Lane is modelled to be slightly more extensive but depths are still likely to be less than 0.3m. Two surface water flow paths are modelled across Waste Lane adjacent to the eastern corner of the site. The western wider flow path is likely to be shallow with modelled flood depths of less than 0.3m. The narrower eastern flow path could be between 0.3 and 0.9m in depth. In terms of fluvial and surface water flood risk, the surrounding road network is not significantly affected by flooding and any surface water pooling is likely to be shallow in most events, with the exception of the ponding under the railway underpass at Truggist Lane. Site access should be provided along either Station Road or Meeting House Lane. Access should also be provided on Waste Lane for the portion of the site cut off by fluvial flooding associated with upstream extents of the Bayley's Brook. This should be provided to the east of the surface water flood extents that impact this road. The depths, velocities, hazards, durations and speeds of onset of surface water and fluvial flooding along access/ egress routes should be investigated further in a site-specific assessment, to confirm whether access for emergency vehicles could still be obtained.	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	 Increased storm intensity and frequency as a result of climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of fluvial flooding from the Bayley's Brook and surface water flooding across the site. 2D strategic modelling has been completed for the watercourses covering this site using TUFLOW, including allowances for climate change. For the 1 in 100 year event, the 2080s period was used, and all three allowance categories were modelled (20%, 30% & 50%). Within the site boundary, there is very little change in the 100 year flood extent when climate change allowances are applied suggesting that there is low sensitivity to climate change. As part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, latest EA climate change allowances will need to be considered in a detailed hydraulic model, to confirm the impact in the site. The impact of watercourse and drainage work associated with the HS2 railway embankment on the route of Kenilworth Greenway should also be taken into account for site specific Flood Risk Assessment work. Climate change also needs to be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage. The 100-year event with a 40% allowance for climate change should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling. The current day 1,000-year surface water extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent events. It is likely that surface water flooding will impact a larger portion of the site. The surrounding road networks are also likely to be affected more frequently. A detailed FRA would be required to assess the site layout and design in relation to the impact of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA. 	



	Site Code	Site 1	
Site details	Address	Barretts Farm	
	Area	91 Hectares	
One details	Current Land Use	Greenfield/Agricultural	
	Proposed Land Use	Residential	
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	 Geology at the site consists of: Bedrock: Mercia Mudstone Group - Mudstone Superficial: None Recorded Eastern Area: Till, Mid Pleistocene – Diamicton Southern Area: Oadby Member - Diamicton Along Watercourse: Alluvium - Clay, Silt, Sand And Gravel The site is not located within an EA designated Source Protection Zone. The site is also not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site. Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater in the south west of the site. Infiltration likely to be suitable for the majority of the site where mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding. In the south west of the site, infiltration may be suitable, but mapping suggests a medium risk of groundwater flooding. Further site investigation should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration. If infiltration is suitable it should be avoided in areas where the depth to the water table is <1m. Mapping suggests that the site slopes are suitable for all forms of detention. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. All filtration techniques are likely to be suitable. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. Site masterplans should be designed to ensure space is made for above ground SuDS features. Developers should refer to Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council's Guide to SuDS and Drainage in Solihull document as well as the Level 1 SFRA, for information on suitable types of SuDS,	
NPPF and Planning Implications	Exception Test Requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located outside of Flood Zone 3. As the site contains extended areas of Flood Zone 3 associated with the Bayley's Brook and residential development is proposed, the Exception test will need to be applied if: • More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2. • Highly Vulnerable infrastructure is not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b. • More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3b.	
	Requirements and guidance for site- specific Flood Risk Assessment	 Flood Risk Assessment: At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. The site-specific FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning 	

- Practice Guidance; Solihull Council's Local Plan policies, and the LLFA's Guide to SuDS and Drainage in Solihull.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- A detailed hydraulic model will be required to confirm both fluvial and surface water flood risk and flow paths, FZ3b and climate change extents, using channel, asset and topographic survey. The residual risk from culvert blockage should be assessed and suitable mitigation proposed.
- The impact of watercourse and drainage work associated with the HS2 railway embankment on the route of Kenilworth Greenway should be considered for site specific Flood Risk Assessment work. It is recommended that conversations are held with HS2 to establish further the nature of these works at an early stage.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF.
- Development in FZ3 may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the
 development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its
 lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the
 objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the
 operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained
 effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk
 and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk areas. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design 1 in 100 year flood event with allowance for climate change may remove the need for resilience measures.
- Culverting should be avoided where at all possible and limited to short lengths for essential infrastructure. The need to ensure both fluvial and surface water flows can pass through the site is essential.
- Deculverting of any watercourse assets is also considered a priority.
- The impact of culvert blockage needs to be fully assessed. Any new culverts proposed as part of access improvements will need to be designed to ensure they do not increase flood risk up or downstream and will require a Land Drainage Consent outside of the planning process from the LLFA.
- If existing culverts are to be kept, a full CCTV condition survey is required
 to ensure the culvert will be sound for the lifetime of the proposed
 development. Improvements should be sought, such as trash screens
 compliant with the latest Environment Agency guidance and relining where
 this is appropriate and sustainable option.
- For any culverts (old or new), the developer must set out who is adopting
 and maintaining those culverts throughout the lifetime of the development.
 The design of the development must consider the residual risk of blockage
 e.g. properties should not be placed in the area that could flood if a culvert
 blocks and the exceedance flows from such an event should be built into
 the site masterplan.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond current greenfield rates.
- Areas at risk from fluvial and surface water flooding should ideally be integrated into green infrastructure, which presents wider opportunities to improve biodiversity and amenity as well as climate change adaptation. An integrated flood risk management and sustainable drainage scheme for the site is advised. This needs to be



	Site Code	Site 1	
Site details	Address	Barretts Farm	
	Area	91 Hectares	
	Current Land Use	Greenfield/Agricultural	
	Proposed Land Use	Residential	
		 modelled to inform the design to ensure that surface water overland flows or fluvial flooding do not overwhelm sustainable drainage features. New developments should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Surface water runoff must be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate. Developers should refer to SMBC's Guide to SuDS and Drainage in Solihull and the Level 1 SFRA for background information on SuDS. 	
Key Messages		 The flood risk element of the Exception Test is likely to be passed if: Development is limited to the 87.0% of the site located outside of the Environment Agency's Flood Zone 2 and 3. Areas in Flood Zone 1 and then 2 are used for the least vulnerable parts of the development in accordance with Table 2 in the NPPF. If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another) Green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk. New developments should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Surface water runoff must be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate. Safe access and egress routes must not be in the areas of high surface water risk or the 100-year fluvial design flood event (taking into account climate change). In terms of surface water flood risk, the surrounding road network is not significantly affected by flooding and any surface water pooling is likely to be shallow in most events. The site would be best accessed from Station Road and Meeting House Lane to the west and Waste Lane to the south as a result of fluvial flooding dividing the site. Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site. 	



Site details	Site Code	Site 1
	Address	Barretts Farm
	Area	91 Hectares
	Current Land Use	Greenfield/Agricultural
	Proposed Land Use	Residential

Mapping Information			
The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the strategic 2D modelling outputs and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.			
Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from strategic 2D modelling completed as part of the Level 2 SFRA. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk. The majority of the site is covered by the IHM DTM and as such basic assumptions have been applied to stamp features into the DTM for this assessment. There is potential that features within the floodplain are misaligned or not accurately represented by the dataset. Assumptions regarding the connectivity of the watercourse (e.g. culvert inlet levels) also have a degree of uncertainty as they are based on the IHM dataset. It is recommended that if required that flood risk should be assessed via a detailed site-specific assessment which further information on the channel and floodplain features to help better understand the flood mechanisms of the area.		
Climate change	Climate change was modelled as part of Level 2 SFRA strategic 2D modelling. However, it is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.		
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping has been taken from the strategic 2D modelling completed as part of the Level 2 SFRA. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.		
Surface Water	The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping	The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		