

HAMPTON-IN-ARDEN PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN 2025

Consultation Statement

Introduction

1. This Consultation Statement has been prepared to fulfil the legal obligations of the **Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012** under **Section 5(2)** which defines a Consultation Statement as one that:
 - Contains details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan.
 - Explains how they were consulted.
 - Summarises the key issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted.
 - Describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.
2. This **Hampton-in-Arden 2024 Neighbourhood Plan** is an **update** to the plan made in **2017** following an extensive process of consultation between 2014 and 2016 and which was considered by the independent Inspector to have fulfilled the consultation requirements on that occasion. A full new consultation process has been conducted for this update, as described in this document.

The Original 2017 Neighbourhood Plan

3. The original proposal to create a Neighbourhood Plan for the Parish of Hampton-in-Arden was launched at the Annual Parish Meeting in April 2012. Working in partnership with Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council (SMBC), the Parish Council started work on a Neighbourhood Plan in September 2012 and set up a Working Group to formulate the timetable, data collection, and consultation process.
4. Consultations on the draft Neighbourhood Plan began in 2014 and included questionnaires, quarterly newsletters, public meetings & exhibitions, and information published on the Parish Council and Catherine de Barnes Residents

Association websites.

5. Questionnaires were sent by post to all households on the Parish Register of Electors and all identified businesses operating within the Parish. From the residents there was a response rate of 40% and from the local businesses a response rate of 25%.
6. Based on the responses received, the Working Group drafted a plan, whilst continuing to talk to stakeholders, and consulting closely with the relevant officers of SMBC.
7. A Draft of the Hampton-in-Arden Neighbourhood Plan was subject to Regulation 14 consultation for six weeks from Monday 3 August 2015. It was placed on the Parish Council, Catherine de Barnes Residents Association and SMBC websites for viewing and downloading. A newsletter was delivered to all households in the Parish promoting the consultation and informing residents about the various locations in the Parish where hard copies of the Plan could be inspected. Businesses and consultation bodies, including Local Authorities, received a letter or email letting them know about the consultation and explaining how to access copies of the Plan and how to register comments.
8. During the Regulation 14 Consultation Period a total of sixteen responses were received as follows:
 - Six from statutory bodies.
 - Four from non-statutory bodies.
 - One from a neighbouring Parish Council.
 - One from a village organisation.
 - Four from residents.
9. Residents were positive about the Draft Plan and offered many complimentary comments. Consultation bodies offered a range of constructive comments, many of which were taken on board by the Working Group.
10. An amended Plan was approved by the Working Group in January 2016 for submission to SMBC. SMBC organised a consultation in accordance with Regulation 16, between August and September 2016. Following that consultation, they submitted the Plan to the Independent Examiner.
11. The Examiner concluded that, subject to some recommended modifications set out in his report, the Neighbourhood Plan met all the necessary legal requirements and should proceed to referendum. That Referendum was held

in July 2017. Residents voted overwhelmingly in favour with a vote of 94.7%. The turnout was 27.4%. The Hampton-in-Arden Neighbourhood Plan was duly made in 2017.

The decision to update the Neighbourhood Plan

12. There were two primary factors that led to the decision of the Parish Council in 2019 to update the 2017 Plan. These were:

- The fact that, even while the 2017 Neighbourhood Plan was being finalised, there was an emerging new SMBC Local Plan being developed to replace the 2013 SMBC Local Plan, which identified new development sites within the Parish.
- The redrawing of the Hampton-in-Arden Parish boundary, which had gone through a consultation process and referendum, prior to being finalised in 2019; this created a significant population and area of the parish not covered by the 2017 Plan.

13. The 2017 Hampton-in-Arden Neighbourhood Plan had been written to align to the SMBC Local Plan made in 2013. However, in November 2015 SMBC had begun a new consultation process to consider options for updating this plan. Three factors had driven the need for an update, these being the added requirements for new housing, the opportunity presented by the HS2 rail link and associated development around the Interchange Station, and the impact of growth on the Green Belt. A range of development options had been devised, based on those in the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership's Spatial Plan.

14. Consultation between November 2015 and January 2016 and led to the initiation of a new Draft Local Plan process which involved SMBC in the commissioning of evidence, development of an updated vision, and consideration of more site allocations.

15. Consultation on a new Draft Local Plan, in which Hampton-in-Arden Parish Council actively participated, took place between December 2016 and February 2017. Three new sites were named, which directly affected the Hampton-in-Arden Parish. One especially large one, known as SO1 off Lugtrout Lane, was entirely within the wider area likely to be covered within the emerging new parish boundary. Consultations continued during 2018 and 2019.

16. In the meantime, Hampton-in-Arden Parish Council had launched a process to

review its boundaries. When the original civil parish of Hampton-in-Arden had been created under the Local Government Act of 1894, part of its border ran along the Grand Union Canal, which resulted in most of Catherine de Barnes falling outside the parish boundary. This was changed with effect from 1 April 2019 when, following extensive consultations and a referendum, the parish was reconfigured into two wards, Hampton-in-Arden, and Catherine de Barnes, with the whole of Catherine de Barnes joining the parish.

17. This change confirmed that the site SO1 would fall entirely within the new Hampton-in-Arden Parish boundary. There was widespread concern about the impact of SO1 on the parish, and the fact that the existing Neighbourhood Plan did not address this, or the two other newly identified development sites. As a result, pressure grew to prepare an updated plan.
18. The Parish Council applied to SMBC in January 2020 for the new Civil Parish boundary to be designated as the new Neighbourhood Area for the next iteration of the Neighbourhood Plan; this was approved in April 2020. **See Appendix A**
19. After further consultations on SMBC's Draft Local Plan between October and December 2020, which included the new development sites off Lugtrout Lane, at Oak Farm, and off Meriden Road, the submission draft was finalised. On 13 May 2021 SMBC submitted it to the Secretary of State (via the Planning Inspectorate) for independent examination.

Process for the management of the updated Neighbourhood Plan

20. The Parish Working Group, created in 2019, included the Parish Clerk and the following Parish Councillors, who also reflected the views of various community groups:

Parish Councillor	Ward	Other Community Affiliations
Dave Cuthbert	Catherine de Barnes	Catherine de Barnes Residents Association, CdB Village Hall Trust, H-in-A Probus Club,
Mike Blomer	Hampton in Arden	Hampton Society
Tim Beresford	Hampton in Arden	Fentham Trust, Hampton Society, Hampton Festival Committee.
Giles Cook	Catherine de Barnes	Catherine de Barnes Residents Association
John Eccleston	Catherine de Barnes	Catherine de Barnes Residents Association, Hampton Sports Club
Peter Green	Hampton in Arden	Hampton Society, H-in-A Probus Club, Hampton Bowls Club, Hampton Local History Group.

HAMPTON-IN-ARDEN PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - Consultation Statement

Ken Blanch	Hampton in Arden	Hampton Society, H-in-A Probus Club, Hampton Bowls Club
Roger Waring	Catherine de Barnes	Hampton Society, H-in-A Probus Club, Hampton Local History Group.

21. Dave Cuthbert was elected the Chairperson of the Working Group which kept in regular contact and consulted closely with relevant officers of SMBC.

22. It had not been foreseen at the start of this process that there would be delays and disruption caused by:

- the outbreak of the COVID pandemic.
- the lengthy examination, unresolved finalisation, and ultimate withdrawal of the new SMBC Draft Local Plan.
- substantial changes to the NPPF in 2024 following a change of government.

23. The first COVID lockdown began on 26 Jan 2020, and restrictions did not start to be eased until the summer. By September 2020 restrictions started to be tightened again and on 31 October 2020 the second lockdown began.

24. Social distancing and lockdown restrictions meant that for much of 2020 it was impossible for the Parish Council or the Working Group to meet in person; nor was it possible for parishioners to attend Parish Council meetings or the annual village meeting. This inevitably delayed progress.

25. Nevertheless, work went ahead on designing the consultation process. The Working Group took the view that the entire process used to consult over and prepare the first Neighbourhood Plan should be repeated to inform the updated version. Specifically, this meant starting by launching a new survey questionnaire process, this time to embrace the new wider Neighbourhood Plan area. They considered it important to:

- stick as closely as possible to the questions asked in the first survey to see whether there had been any changes/trends in opinion, and
- establish whether there was commonality or divergence between the views expressed by the two new wards, Hampton-in-Arden, and Catherine de Barnes.

26. As a result, the original survey questions were repeated in the same structure with only a few new ones added to allow direct comparisons to be made with the results from the 2014 survey. Although the survey was conducted on an anonymous basis, the questionnaires were marked with an identifier to show

from which ward they had come.

27. Separate questionnaires were prepared for residents and businesses respectively and are attached as **Appendices B and C**. A total of 1282 questionnaires were posted to households and 53 to local businesses in January 2020. Both were fronted with an explanatory letter from the Chair of the Parish Council (**Appendix D**) and an update on progress with the policies set out in the earlier Neighbourhood Plan (**Appendix E**). The residents' names and addresses were obtained from the electoral roll.

Response to the 2020 Questionnaires

28. 293 responses from residents were received which represented a 23% return, compared with 40% in 2014. Of those, 211 were from Hampton-in-Arden and 82 from Catherine de Barnes. Only four local business responded, a response of 5.7%, compared with 25% in 2014.
29. Analysis of the age bands of the respondees showed that in Hampton in Arden 20% were under 25, 48% were between 25 and 65, and 32% were over 65. From Catherine de Barnes 14% were under 25, 43% were between 25 and 65, and 43% were over 65. The Working Group felt that this age-spread represented a balanced sample from which to draw conclusions.
30. The lower overall response in 2020 to 2014 was a disappointment. It was suspected that as this was an update, with great similarities to the earlier exercise, many felt they had made their points before. The COVID pandemic events had also been a distraction. The Working Group considered that the responses of 2014 and 2020 nevertheless provide sufficient evidence to allow the process to move on to the plan drafting stage.
31. The Working Group began analysing the data in early 2021. The responses to each question were input into the same database used to record the 2014 responses. This allowed direct comparisons to be made with the answers from 2014. The database also allowed the 2020 responses to be analysed in total and separately for Hampton-in-Arden Ward and Catherine de Barnes Ward to show whether there was any divergence of opinion between the two halves of the Parish.
32. The full database is available electronically for scrutiny should it be needed. The questionnaires have also been kept and are available for scrutiny. However, the responses have been summarised in the spreadsheet attached as **Appendix F** which sets out the overall conclusions for 2014, 2020 in total,

2020 Hampton-in-Arden and 2020 Catherine de Barnes.

33. In summary the 2020 consultation identified similar priorities to the 2014 consultation, including the following:

- Protection of the Green Belt from inappropriate development
- Ensuring 'Cherished views' were protected by keeping their rural outlook and setting, free from development or change of use.
- Preservation of tree dominated landscape of the parish, characteristic of its setting within the historic Forest of Arden.
- Preservation of Biodiversity in areas where wildlife flourished, including the Spinney and Common, the woodland and wildflower meadow areas managed by the Fentham Trust, the River Blythe and its water meadows.
- Conservation of Local Heritage, particularly in the old village centre of Hampton-in-Arden, and other examples of heritage assets, including listed buildings and Scheduled Monuments.
- Retention of Separateness of the two main settlements and insulation from suburban sprawl.

Other notable view to emerge included:

34. **Future Housing Needs** - The main concern was about over development. Most respondents said they were not interested in affordable housing themselves, as they did not consider themselves to be in an eligible income bracket. Around a quarter of households believed that a child or daughter was likely to be looking to move out in the next five years. Their main problem was being able to afford anything locally. On this basis, a sizeable proportion of residents thought that any new housing developments should include a percentage of affordable housing. Small developments of under twenty-five homes were preferred. There was extremely strong opposition to any building on the Green Belt, and many respondents said that there should be no more development without corresponding infrastructure improvements, in particular primary schooling provision and village based medical services.

35. **Employment** - Three quarters of respondents thought farms should not be allowed to diversify into quasi-industrial activities. Most felt the Parish should only actively look to encourage employment in the agricultural, recreation and leisure sectors. Many respondents thought it important to keep the village shops as shops. A sizeable number of respondents felt that the Parish Council should not be encouraging any employment growth at all, although better broadband and improved public transport were desirable to support the

growth in home working.

- 36. The Natural Environment** - All respondents felt it important that any development should be in keeping with local rural character, heritage, and setting. They favoured developments that respected the scale and character of the village, complemented the Arden landscape, supported the vital role of green space and gardens, and conserved energy. The three most supported priorities were protection of landscape, protection of historic & natural features, and provision of better pedestrian & cycle access.
- 37. Sustainable Transport Infrastructure** - There was a lot of support for a bus service between Hampton and Knowle, and a bus link from Catherine de Barnes to the NEC. Other suggested improvements related to the frequency of bus services and a better evening and weekend service. Most respondents were content with current rail provision but felt that better station access on the northbound line was important which was not DDA compliant. Many said that there should be more parking restrictions around the centre of Hampton, e.g. on the High Street, top of Marsh Lane. Other suggestions for improvement included the creation of a footpath/cycle lane between Hampton and Catherine de Barnes, widening of the pavements, and more traffic calming & pedestrian crossings.

Preparing the Draft Neighbourhood Plan

- 38.** Once the questionnaire responses had been collated for detailed analysis, the Working Group began writing the draft Neighbourhood Plan. However, on 6 January 2021 a third pandemic lockdown was announced, which only started to ease from March 2021 onwards. The Working Group met on 30 March 2021 to review the assessment of the responses and the early draft and structure of the Plan.
- 39.** Most of 2021 was spent updating and improving the draft plan, which included several meetings with SMBC for advice. The Plan had been written to align to the draft SMBC Local Plan which had been sent for independent examination on 13 May 2021. With an expectation of an early approval of SMBC's Local Plan, the first thought was to delay going ahead to the next round of consultation until the new SMBC Local Plan was in place. This was because there was a concern the Examiner might have a problem approving the Neighbourhood Plan if the SMBC Local Plan remained unresolved or subject to extensive revision. He might even rule that it had to be assessed against the 2013 Local Plan if a later version was still not finalised. However, there was also

a strong view within the parish that, with the increasing intrusive impact of major infrastructure projects such as HS2, the M42 Relief Road, and the Motorway Service Area in Catherine de Barnes, an updated Neighbourhood Plan was vital.

40. On 21 December 2021 SMBC reported that they had been told that the Inspectors had been unable to approve the plan due to concerns that the housing capacity proposed at the NEC was over ambitious, and other concerns surrounding the relocation of the Arden Academy. SMBC said they were considering what the Inspectors had said, while considering the recent announcements from the Secretary of State about potential reforms to the planning system, and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). SMBC said they would respond to the Inspectors' letter in due course. As a result, the Working Party decided that work would stop on the Hampton-in-Arden Neighbourhood Plan until a further review in early 2022.
41. When the Working Group met with SMBC on 15 February 2022, SMBC confirmed that we could proceed to Regulation 14 Consultation whenever we were ready but there was still a possibility that the Examiner might have a problem approving it if the SMBC Local Plan remained un-adopted, and he might feel he had to revert to the 2013 Local Plan. However, another option was to press on with finalisation of the first consultation draft even though Solihull's Local Plan was not finalised yet.
42. Because SMBC would go into pre-election Purdah around mid-March until around mid-May 2022 they would not be able to formally comment on documents during that period. The Working Group's inclination was to press on to Regulation 14 Consultation, but to wait until after the May elections, to see what progress might have been made with the Local Plan.
43. Following further hearings on SMBC's Local Plan in July 2022 the Inspectors, whilst not approving the Plan, specifically signalled their support in principle for SMBC's approach to the overall spatial strategy. Further, with a few exceptions, they said that the other residential allocations were 'in principle appropriate.' The Inspectors also supported SMBC's housing need calculations and trajectory and concluded that SMBC had satisfied the Duty to Cooperate.
44. In the light of this, the Parish Council at their meeting on 11 November 2021, decided to move to the next stage of consultation and signed off a way forward. This involved:
 - Finally approving the Draft Plan by 30 November 2022.

- Printing hard copies.
- Printing letters to residents to go out in the February 2023 Parish Newsletter.
- Preparing letters/emails to go to Local Businesses and Statutory Consultees.
- Creating a special Neighbourhood Plan page on Parish website.
- Starting a supporting Facebook campaign.

Regulation 14 Consultation

45. The public consultation on the Pre-Submission Draft of the Hampton-in-Arden Neighbourhood Plan was conducted in accordance with **The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (SI No. 637) Part 5 Pre-Submission consultation and publicity, paragraph 14**. This says that before submitting a plan proposal to the local planning authority, a qualifying body must:

- publicise, in a manner that is likely to bring it to the attention of people who live, work, or trade in the neighbourhood area.
- publicise details of the proposals for a Neighbourhood Development Plan.
- publicise details of where and when the proposals for a Neighbourhood Development Plan may be inspected.
- publicise details of how to make representations.
- publicise the date by which those representations must be received, being not less than six weeks from the date on which the draft proposal is first publicised.
- consult any consultation body referred to in paragraph 1 of Schedule 1 whose interests the qualifying body considers may be affected by the proposals for a Neighbourhood Development Plan, and,
- send a copy of the proposals for a Neighbourhood Development Plan to the local planning authority.

46. The Draft Neighbourhood Plan was put out for a six-week Reg 14 consultation in February 2023. A copy of this document is attached as **Appendix G**. A letter to each household was included as a supplement within the February 2022 Parish Newsletter. This document is attached as **Appendix H**. The document asked for residents and local businesses to respond with their comments and directed them to the website where the full plan was available. A response form was also included as a supplement in the Newsletter, as attached in **Appendix I**. All households and businesses in the Parish were therefore notified and invited to comment on the plan.

47. The draft plan was emailed to the statutory consultees and community groups.

48. The text of that email is attached as **Appendix J**. The statutory consultees and community groups formally consulted were:

- Parish Councils: Balsall, Barston, Berkswell, Bickenhill & Marston Green, Meriden, Packington.
- District Councils: Bromsgrove, North Warwickshire, Stratford on Avon, Warwick.
- County Councils: Warwickshire, Worcestershire.
- City Councils: Coventry, Birmingham
- Borough Councils: Solihull, North Warwickshire.
- West Midlands Police & Crime Commissioner.
- Utilities & Infrastructure: British Gas, National Grid, Coal Authority, Severn Trent Water, Western Power Distribution, Network Rail, Centro, Highways England, London Midland Railway, Birmingham Airport.
- Government Agencies: Environment Agency, Natural England, Historic England.
- Bickenhill Ward Councillors; Bob Sleight, Gail Sleight, Jim Ryan.
- Business Interests: NEC, Arden Wood Shavings, Armac, Fleet Hire, Hampton Manor, Hampton Gym, Gooch Estates, Packington Estate, Solihull Chamber of Commerce, Wyckham Blackwell, Tyler Parkes, Pegasus for MSA, HS2.
- Community Groups: Catherine de Barnes Residents Association, Hampton in Arden Society, Hampton Parochial Church Council, George Fentham Trust, Sports & Social Club, Hampton Women's Institute, Hampton Players, Hampton Pre-School, George Fentham School, Hampton Probus Club, Hampton Scouts & Guides, Hampton Singers, Island Project, Catney Village Hall Trust, The Glades Football Centre, Knowle and Dorridge Cricket Club, Catney Cricket Club, Solihull Canoe Club, Hampton FC.

49. Consultation on the Pre-Submission Draft Neighbourhood Plan was completed on 22 March 2023, with 49 responses from residents, businesses and consultees being received. The Total number of Responses Received is summarised below:

- | | |
|---|----|
| • Hampton Residents: | 12 |
| • Catney Residents: | 23 |
| • Hampton Local Businesses: | 1 |
| • Catherine de Barnes Local Businesses: | 0 |
| • Statutory Stakeholders: | 7 |

- Non-Statutory Stakeholders: 6

50. This was an encouraging response and significantly higher than the number of responses when a similar such consultation exercise was launched for the earlier comparable document in 2015.

- Residents: 4
- Local Businesses: 0
- Statutory Stakeholders: 7
- Non-Statutory Stakeholders: 5

51. There was more input from residents on this occasion, especially from Catherine de Barnes. Most comments were supportive, some useful corrections were offered, and points of clarity suggested. The issues raised were mostly about Green Belt, infrastructure development, parking, traffic calming, and the impact of the large road and rail projects.

52. The Statutory consultees who responded were SMBC, Balsall Common PC, Meriden PC, West Midlands Police, Historic England, Natural England, and the National Coal Board. None offered major challenges to the Draft Plan.

53. The Non-Statutory Consultees who responded were Catherine de Barnes Residents Association, Fentham Trust, Hampton-in-Arden Society, Pegasus, HS2, and Hampton-in-Arden Probus Club, although HS2's response was 'no comment.'

54. A full analysis of the responses and the extent to which they were considered and incorporated into the draft plan is included as **Appendix K**.

55. Following the responses from both residents and statutory consultees the plan was further amended and updated. At a meeting on 18 April 2023 the Working Group resolved to do no further work on the plan until SMBC were able to confirm that their updated Local Plan was adopted. It was felt that once we had an adopted Local Plan to work on, we could consult with SMBC on any final adjustments to our Draft Plan prior to submission.

56. In July 2023 we received further guidance from SMBC. This said that the delay to the approval of the Local Plan had added uncertainty to the ongoing planning process and there were live planning applications in the new site areas that were requiring decisions. SMBC said they would treat such applications on their own merits and would work with site promoters and developers of proposed site allocations in advance of the Local Plan being

adopted, focussing on compliance with draft policy, delivery of appropriate infrastructure; and overall deliverability of the site in question having regard to the allocation as a whole and early delivery of new homes. They said that if all such tests could be satisfied it was likely this would be afforded significant weight in the planning balance when considering *Very Special Circumstances*. To support the process SMBC would allow officers to work positively and constructively with applicants to support the sustainable delivery of draft site allocations in the LPR.

57. In the light of this the Parish Council felt increasingly dissatisfied with their decision to pause further development of the Neighbourhood Plan. At the Parish Council meeting in March 2024, it was decided that it was not sustainable to continue to hold the Plan in abeyance and the Working Group was tasked with finding a route through to finalisation.

58. At a meeting with SMBC on 30 April 2024 we were advised that the decision was one for the Parish Council; however, the dilemma remained that should the plan go to independent examination, the examiner may feel he had to assess it against the current 2013 Local Plan. On considering their options the Working Group decided to amend the content and structure of the Neighbourhood Plan so that it complied with the policies in both the 2013 Plan and the emerging new Local Plan but was also able to reflect and comment on the emerging new sites which had not featured in the 2013 Local Plan. This approach was endorsed at the Parish Council meeting on 8 May 2024.

59. A new Government was elected on 4 July 2024. Given the early indications that it would increase Solihull's housing targets by 52%, SMBC concluded on 8 October 2024 they had no other option than to withdraw their Draft Local Plan and start work immediately on a new one.

60. On 12 December 2024, the Government published a new National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) document which claimed to be one of the most ambitious planning reforms in decades, aimed at addressing the housing crisis while redefining key planning priorities. The new NPPF created the concept of *Grey Belt* to allow local authorities to reclassify areas of the Green Belt which did not strongly contribute to

- Preventing the unchecked sprawl of large urban areas.
- Avoiding the merging of neighbouring towns into one another.
- Preserving the setting and unique character of historic towns.
- And were not additionally protected, i.e. SSIs, National Parks, etc.

61. The Parish Council concluded that since our Neighbourhood Plan must align to both a current Local Plan and the latest NPPF we had to update the plan once more for NPPF alignment.
62. The resulting amended Hampton-in-Arden Neighbourhood Plan was approved by the Neighbourhood Plan Working Group on 19 March 2025 for submission to SMBC.

Conclusion

63. The Consultation Statement sets out how Hampton-in-Arden Parish Council and Neighbourhood Plan Working Group undertook extensive public consultation and engagement activities both prior to the publication of the Pre-Submission Draft of the Neighbourhood Plan and during the formal six-week public consultation process. It is believed that the activities to engage and consult residents, organisations and consultation bodies went beyond those required by the Regulations and represent good practice in neighbourhood planning.
64. This Consultation Statement is submitted alongside the Hampton-in-Arden Neighbourhood Plan and the Basic Conditions Statement.

Appendices

- Appendix A – Neighbourhood Area Approval April 2020
- Appendix B – NP Household Questionnaire 2020
- Appendix C – NP Business Questionnaire 2020
- Appendix D – Explanatory Letter from Parish Council Chair
- Appendix E – Progress Report on policies from current NP
- Appendix F – Summary of Responses to 2020 Questionnaire
- Appendix G – Pre-Submission Draft Parish Neighbourhood Plan
- Appendix H – Notification sent to each Household.
- Appendix I – Response form to respond to Pre-Submission Plan
- Appendix J – Text of email notification sent to consultees.
- Appendix K – Analysis of responses received to Reg 14 Consultation