




# Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath



Draft Neighbourhood Plan 2018 - 2033

Submission, May 2018



# Contents

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Section 1: Introduction and Background</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Purpose	1
1.2. Submitting Body	1
1.3. Neighbourhood Plan Area	1
1.4. Plan Period	2
1.5. Plan Monitoring and Review	2
1.6. Plan Structure	3
<b>Section 2: Planning Context</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1. National Planning Policies and Advice	4
2.2. Sustainable Development	4
2.3. SMBC Local Development Plan	5
2.4. EU Obligations and Human Rights Requirements	5
<b>Section 3: Process Summary</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1. Governance and Process	6
3.2. Community Engagement	6
3.3. Draft Plan Pre-Submission Consultation Process	8
3.4. Evidence Base Overview	9
<b>Section 4: Our Villages Today</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1. Location and Setting	11
4.2. Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath	11
4.3. Population Profile	11
4.4. Housing Profile	12
4.5. Housing Growth	12
4.6. Economic Role	12
4.7. Transport	14
4.8. Education, Community Facilities and Recreation	14
4.9. What Makes KDBH Distinctive?	14
<b>Section 5: Opportunities and Key Issues</b>	<b>15</b>
5.1. Housing Growth	15
5.2. Housing Mix	15
5.3. Design	16
5.4. Traffic and Transport	17
5.5. Village Character and Natural Environment	18
5.6. Education and Community Facilities (including Health Care, Sport, Recreation and Leisure)	18
5.7. Employment including Retail and other Commercial Uses	19
5.8. Communications Infrastructure and Utilities	19
<b>Section 6: Vision and Objectives</b>	<b>20</b>
6.1. Background	20
6.2. Vision Statement	20
6.3. Objectives	21
<b>Section 7: Village Character and Natural Environment</b>	<b>24</b>
7.1. Village Character and Natural Environment – Policy Goal	24
7.2. Policy VC1: Green Belt and Landscape	24
7.3. Policy VC2: Conservation Areas	25
7.4. Policy VC3: Heritage Assets	26
7.5. Policy VC4: Green Space	28
7.6. Policy VC5: Green Streets	30
7.7. Policy NE1: Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland	30
7.8. Policy NE2: Habitats and Biodiversity	31

<b>Section 8: Housing</b>	<b>32</b>
8.1. Housing - Policy Goal	32
8.2. Policy H1: Scale of New Housing	32
8.3. Policy H2: Housing on Allocated and Larger Sites	33
8.4. Policy H3: Affordable Housing	36
8.5. Policy H4: Housing Mix	38
8.6. Policy H5: Apartments	39
8.7. Policy H6: Windfall Housing	40
8.8. Policy H7: Extensions and Alterations	40
<b>Section 9: Design</b>	<b>41</b>
9.1. Design - Policy Goal	41
9.2. Policy D1: Character and Appearance	42
9.3. Policy D2: Design in Conservation Areas	43
<b>Section 10 : Traffic and Transport</b>	<b>45</b>
10.1. Traffic and Transport - Policy Goal	45
10.2. Policy T1: Parking for Residents	46
10.3. Policy T2: Parking for Non-Residential Premises	46
10.4. Policy T3: Parking at Village Centres and for Rail Users	47
10.5. Policy T4: Contributions to Additional Parking and Road Improvements	47
10.6. Policy T5: Transport Assessment and Travel Plans	48
10.7. Policy T6: Walking Infrastructure	48
10.8. Policy T7: Cycling Infrastructure	49
10.9. Policy T8: Road Infrastructure	50
10.10. Policy T9: Public Transport Infrastructure	51
10.11. Policy T10: Infrastructure for Cleaner Transport	51
<b>Section 11: Education and Community Facilities</b>	<b>52</b>
11.1. Education and Community Facilities - Policy Goal	52
11.2. Policy ECF1: Formal Education - Places for Local Pupils	52
11.3. Policy ECF2: Formal Education - Location of New Schools	53
11.4. Policy ECF3: Protection of Community Facilities and Services	54
11.5. Policy ECF4: New Housing Development - Investment in Community Facilities	55
11.6. Policy ECF5: Recreation, Leisure and Sport	56
11.7. Policy ECF6: Community Access and Management	56
<b>Section 12: Employment, including Retail and Other Commercial Uses</b>	<b>58</b>
12.1. Employment - Policy Goal	58
12.2. Policy E1: Retention of Shops and Services	59
12.3. Policy E2: New Development in Village Centres	61
12.4. Policy E3: Business Centre	62
12.5. Policy E4: Working from Home	62
<b>Section 13: Communications Infrastructure and Utilities</b>	<b>63</b>
13.1. Infrastructure - Policy Goal	63
13.2. Policy U1: Mobile Phone and Broadband Infrastructure	63
<b>Appendix 1: Housing Density Map</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Opportunities and Key Issues</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Appendix 3: Community Actions</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>Appendix 4: Local List of Heritage Assets</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Appendix 5: Examples of Poor Housing Development</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Appendix 6: Policy D2 Design in Conservation Areas</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Appendix 7: Main Transport Links in KDBH</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Appendix 8: Education and Community Facilities</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Appendix 9: Glossary</b>	<b>79</b>

## Foreword

### KDBH Draft Neighbourhood Plan: What's it all about?

This Draft Neighbourhood Plan sets out a Vision for the future of the KDBH Neighbourhood Area to 2033, together with the objectives and policies that will guide new development to help achieve that Vision. The Vision and Objectives are contained in Section 5 of the Plan.

The Vision and Objectives derive from resident and business feedback through survey responses and consultations; and from matters raised in studies undertaken for the Neighbourhood Forum in relation to Housing Needs Assessment, Heritage and Character Assessment and Masterplanning/Design and Design Coding. The key issues arising are set out in Section 4 of the Plan.

The policies that flow from the Vision and Objectives are set out by topic in Sections 7 to 13. It is important to bear in mind that the Plan cannot do everything we might wish. In particular, it is being prepared at a time of intense development pressure, with Solihull Council proposing to allocate two large, Green Belt sites for over 1,000 new houses in our Area. This is a matter of considerable local concern amidst fears that such a scale of development will harm the character of our villages and overwhelm our local infrastructure. This Neighbourhood Plan proposes about 500 new houses as being reasonable (Policy H1). However, the way to pursue these arguments is by maintaining our objections to the proposed scale of development through the separate Solihull Local Plan Review consultation process.

With that in mind, this Neighbourhood Plan aims to provide a range of policies that will guide how new development is delivered in the future, irrespective of how much and where that may be. The policies aim to deliver benefits to our community, including:

- improving the layout and design of new development, particularly housing, so that it fits better than recent large developments into the character and appearance of our villages
- mitigating the impacts of new development on the countryside setting and natural environment, as well as on our infrastructure, particularly healthcare, traffic flows, parking, schools and community facilities
- maximising opportunities that new development offers to provide a wider mix of housing to meet the changing demographic of our Area and to contribute towards improving community services and facilities that benefit us all
- addressing resident priorities to protect or enhance our built heritage and natural environment, enhance our village centres, protect the range of existing shops and services, improve parking facilities and road safety and encourage appropriate employment.

Some issues do not relate to land use and therefore cannot be addressed within the Plan. Matters that warrant further action are not forgotten, though, but gathered together and listed separately as “Community Actions” in Appendix 3 for separate discussion with community groups.

Thank you for all your consultation feedback, which has been invaluable in helping us to refine detail and provide clarification to improve understanding and ease of reading. We know that we can rely on your continued input and support to ensure that the KDBH Neighbourhood Plan successfully makes it through the final stages to adoption.



Jane Aykroyd, Chair

Thank You



Bryan Hunt, Plan Organiser

## Section 1: Introduction and Background

### 1.1. Purpose


In April 2012, the Localism Act 2011 amended the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (the Act). It introduced new rights and powers for local communities to shape future development in their neighbourhood by creating a Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP). An NDP establishes general planning policies governing development and use of land in a given neighbourhood.

This document is a Neighbourhood Development Plan, as defined in the Act, for the Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath (KDBH) Neighbourhood Area.

### 1.2. Submitting Body

This Neighbourhood Development Plan (the Plan) is submitted by KDBH Neighbourhood Forum, which is a qualifying body as defined by the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

### 1.3. Neighbourhood Plan Area



The KDBH Neighbourhood Area  
Officially designated on 1 October 2015

The Plan applies to the villages of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath which are part of the Borough of Solihull. Following several community meetings held jointly by the Knowle Society and the Dorridge and District Residents' Association (DDRA), a group of local residents came together to define a Neighbourhood Area and seek recognition as a Neighbourhood Forum qualified to prepare a neighbourhood plan.

On 13 July 2015, application was made to Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council (SMBC) for designation of the Neighbourhood Area and Neighbourhood Forum. On 1 October 2015, the Cabinet Member for Managed Growth agreed to designate the Neighbourhood Area and to formally designate the proposed Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath Neighbourhood Forum to act for that Area.

The Neighbourhood Plan Area approved by the Council is as shown in the graphic to the left.

## 1.4. Plan Period

Solihull Council's adopted Local Plan covers the period 2013 - 2028, but is currently under review. The KDBH Neighbourhood Plan, and the emerging SMBC Local Plan Review, will cover the period through to 2033.

As part of the adoption process, this Draft Neighbourhood Plan is now being submitted formally to SMBC. It will be subject to further consultation, and the opportunity for additional feedback, prior to being passed to an Independent Examiner. The aim is to be in a position to hold a Referendum on the finalised Plan in September / October 2018. The graphic below shows the remaining key milestones. Once "made" (adopted), the KDBH Neighbourhood Plan will form part of the statutory Development Plan alongside the Solihull Local Plan.



SMBC consulted on a revised Draft Local Plan (DLP) in November 2016. Further consultation on an updated Submission version of the DLP has been delayed until summer/autumn 2018, giving a likely date for adoption of the DLP in winter 2019 (at the earliest).

## 1.5. Plan Monitoring and Review

The KDBH Neighbourhood Plan responds to the needs and aspirations of the local community as understood today. Challenges and concerns are likely to change over the Plan period. The Neighbourhood Forum will be responsible as appropriate for maintaining and periodically revisiting the Plan to ensure relevance and to monitor delivery (within the lifespan of the Forum).

Monitoring plays an important part in testing the effectiveness of the policies so as to understand what they mean in practice for implementation and, where necessary, to identify appropriate amendments. As such, over the Plan period the Neighbourhood Forum will liaise with SMBC and other stakeholders to monitor the effectiveness of the Plan and its policies. An annual monitoring report is envisaged in which the Forum will be intimately involved. The purpose will be to:

- identify any material change in background conditions
- assess the success of the Plan in meeting the vision, objectives and target outcomes
- establish whether implementation has given rise to any unintended consequences
- determine whether the assumptions and objectives behind the policies are still relevant
- identify the need to amend or delete any of the policies or to prepare supplementary guidance.

To this effect, it is envisaged that the life of the Neighbourhood Forum will be extended at the end of its current five year period (to October 2020).

By exception, specific events may trigger an extraordinary review of the Plan - for example, when the Solihull Local Plan Review is completed and the new Local Plan is adopted.

## 1.6. Plan Structure

The Plan has two main parts. The first part, Sections 1 - 6, provides important background and context that underpin development of Plan Policies. The second part, Sections 7 - 13, sets out all the Plan Policies. These cover a variety of different topics that address the expressed needs and priorities of those who live, work or run a business in KDBH. Most importantly the Policies have been derived from the analysis in Section 5, 'Opportunities and Key Issues' and Section 6, 'Vision and Objectives'.

Policies relate to land use and new development, the legally defined remit of a Neighbourhood Plan. The text following the Policies, which provides amplification, is to be treated as part of the Policies.

In the course of preparing the Neighbourhood Plan, local residents and businesses have raised a range of issues that are not related to land use or new development. Where these are important local matters that require action - for example in relation to traffic management - they have been included as Community Actions as listed in Appendix 3. Although these Actions do not have statutory weight, they are matters that the Neighbourhood Forum intends to pursue in collaboration with the two KDBH Residents' Associations and other community groups.



DORRIDGE & DISTRICT RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION

The KDBH Area benefits hugely from the amount of work undertaken by its two Residents Associations on behalf of community. The Forum, using its powers as a statutory body, will continue to work closely with the Residents Associations, leading on planning matters and collaborating on Community Actions.

## Section 2: Planning Context

The Neighbourhood Plan must meet certain ‘basic conditions’ which are examined in detail in the ‘Basic Conditions Statement’ included in the Evidence Base. Amongst other things, the Plan must address four key areas, outlined below.

### 2.1. National Planning Policies and Advice

Planning policy for England is set out principally in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) also offers advice on how this policy should be implemented.

The Forum’s Basic Conditions Statement includes an assessment of how the Plan has regard to national policies and advice, focussing on core planning principles. More specifically, and in line with the NPPF, the Plan aims to:

- reflect the needs and priorities of the people and communities of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath
- support strategic development needs
- plan positively to support local development
- set out a positive vision for the future of the Area in a succinct plan
- include robust and comprehensive policies that set out the expected quality of development
- identify, for special protection, Local Green Space
- set planning policies to determine decisions on planning applications
- shape and direct sustainable development.

### 2.2. Sustainable Development

Contributing to the achievement of sustainable development is not just one of the ‘basic conditions’, it is the purpose of the planning system. A presumption in favour of sustainable development is a golden thread running through the planning system and is central to all planning decisions influenced by this Plan.

Sustainable development has three dimensions that are inter-dependent and should not be undertaken in isolation: economic, social and environmental. How the Neighbourhood Plan responds to these three aspects is examined in the Basic Conditions Statement. The provisions of the Plan seek economic, social and environmental gains jointly and simultaneously, and as such play an active part in guiding development of sustainable solutions.

In line with residents’ needs and priorities, the Plan seeks positive improvements in the quality of the built, natural and historic environments as well as in people’s quality of life. Thus, amongst other things, there are policies aimed at achieving net gains for nature; widening the choice of high quality homes; replacing poor design with better design; improving the conditions in which local people live, work, travel and take leisure; and retaining and creating jobs.


The Solihull Local Plan 2013 refers to the presumption in favour of sustainable development at paragraph 5.2.3 and National Policy 1, as set out in the NPPF. These provisions are equally applicable to this Neighbourhood Plan and therefore are not repeated here.

### 2.3. SMBC Local Development Plan

Another basic condition requires a Neighbourhood Plan to be in ‘general conformity’ with the strategic policies of the adopted development plan for the local area, in our case the Solihull Local Plan 2013 (the Local Plan). The Local Plan contains 21 policies, all of which SMBC regard as “strategic”. The Basic Conditions Statement considers the general conformity of this Neighbourhood Plan with the Local Plan policy by policy and also affirms the Council’s view that the general conformity requirement has been met.

Several Local Plan policies relate to assets, centres or sites that are not within the Neighbourhood Plan Area. Others address “excluded matters” or development outside the scope of our Neighbourhood Plan. The Neighbourhood Plan contains provisions that are supportive or complementary for all policies that are of direct relevance.

With regard to the Local Plan “Meeting Housing Need” (Policy P4), the Neighbourhood Plan draws on its own up-to-date local Housing Needs Assessment. In terms of density, the emphasis within the Neighbourhood Plan is on respecting the existing character and appearance of the Area, while recognising that higher density housing has its place.



The Solihull Local Plan Review (LPR), November 2016 is also of relevance. This has been subject to early consultation, but preparation has been considerably delayed. The evidence base has also changed significantly - for example, following publication of the Greater Birmingham Housing Market Area Strategic Growth Study. As such, the emerging LPR can only be accorded limited weight. Nevertheless, the reasoning and evidence informing the LPR process is likely to be relevant in considering Basic Conditions, and the Neighbourhood Plan should seek to align with the strategic needs and priorities of the wider area.



Thus, the Basic Conditions Statement also considers accordance with the emerging draft LPR. One area of potential tension relates to the assessment of housing need. SMBC has in mind a quantum of new housing development higher than that envisaged by the Neighbourhood Forum. This matter will be determined following examination and adoption of the emerging LPR. In the interim, the Neighbourhood Plan follows the evidence provided by the up-to-date KDBH Neighbourhood Area Housing Needs Assessment.

### 2.4. EU Obligations and Human Rights Requirements

The main European obligations of relevance stem from the Strategic Environment Assessment Directive and the European Convention on Human Rights. Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitat Regulations Screening Reports have been prepared by SMBC, confirming that the Plan is unlikely to have any significant impact. The Plan complies with the Human Rights Act 1998.

In summary, the Plan raises no issues with regard to EU obligations and Directives.

## Section 3: Process Summary

### 3.1. Governance and Process

KDBH Neighbourhood Plan Ltd was established as a private company limited by guarantee to create the Plan. A Steering Committee, comprising Directors and Officers of the Company and leaders of Focus Groups, has responsibility for planning and managing the process of Plan creation. However, all decisions on plan content are made by the community, acting as Members of the KDBH Neighbourhood Forum, and ultimately through local referendum.

During 2015 and 2016, three Focus Groups worked on the topics of Housing; Transport and Infrastructure (including Parking); and Leisure, Learning and Community Facilities. These three topics were selected as being the areas of concern most mentioned by local people in early community meetings that led to formation of the Forum.

Focus Group activities included: meeting key Council staff and other experts to gain knowledge and influence thinking; producing display materials for public meetings; being part of the organising and hosting teams for the visioning events and a Developer Showcase; devising questions for the Residents' and Business Surveys; and undertaking detailed analysis of survey responses. The Focus Groups also sourced data and information relevant to their areas from materials in the public domain and from Solihull Council.

In September 2016, the Steering Committee decided to form a Policy Planning Group and delegated to that Group the task of drafting Neighbourhood Plan policies for the Forum. The Policy Planning Group comprised a Chairman and six contributors - two representatives from each of the three Focus Groups. The draft Plan was then reviewed and agreed by the Steering Committee, before being put forward to Forum Members and Solihull Council for comment prior to starting consultation with all residents and businesses in the Area.

### 3.2. Community Engagement

Communication and consultation, in many different forms, played a major role in defining the approach to formulating the Plan. The graphic on the next page provides an overview of the process, key events and milestones that shaped our journey. These are summarised below, with much more detail provided in the 'Consultation Statement' included in our Evidence Base.

#### Approach


From the outset, the overarching goal was to ensure that all sectors of the KDBH community should be given every opportunity to participate in Plan development, make their views heard on what is most important to them, and be kept fully informed throughout.

A huge amount of time and resource has therefore been devoted to shaping and delivering a communications and consultation programme appropriate to each stage of Plan development. This was particularly in the first year, given the need to maximise awareness and engagement to create a strong platform for Plan development.

The multiple strands of the programme aimed to:

- promote a high degree of awareness of the project, including raising the Forum's profile by leafleting in village centres and local supermarkets, and getting involved in a range of community events such as Fun Runs, fetes and fairs
- invite residents and local businesses to join the Forum and to participate in surveys via leaflet drop, poster campaigns, face to face presentations and subject matter briefings to many local organisations and stakeholder groups

## KDBH Neighbourhood Forum - Our Journey



- gain publicity in the local press and by advertising events in a range of local journals that specifically target our Area. A notable achievement was a front-page spread in the Arden Observer, a local paper delivered to most homes in KDBH
- run monthly Forum meetings at the local secondary school to provide progress updates and, more importantly, seek Member decisions on Plan content
- create and actively maintain a dedicated website <https://www.kdbh-np.org> and Facebook page <https://en-gb.facebook.com/kdbhforum/>. This included creating an on-line KDBH Events Calendar to provide a service to the community and help raise our profile with local groups
- disseminate information via Forum newsletters, as well as in publicity produced by local organisations such as churches and Resident Associations. Many local shops displayed event publicity in their windows



- run interactive workshops on key topics, such as the two Visioning sessions, giving more time for community interaction to really understand the detail on resident needs and issues
- design and create posters for all main events, for both external and internal display. Street posters were placed at strategic places around the villages to maximise visibility to both pedestrians and people in vehicles. In many cases, leaflets were also created for people to pick up from eg. shop counters and public buildings.

The Forum website provides a good record of activities undertaken, including:

- pages dedicated to key events such as <https://www.kdbh-np.org/developer-showcase>
- examples of press publicity at <https://www.kdbh-np.org/in-the-press>
- photos at community events at <https://www.kdbh-np.org/community-involvement>.

As a result of the wide range of communication and consultation activities undertaken, the Forum is confident that it has achieved a good and fair cross-representation of community views.

### 3.3. Draft Plan Pre-Submission Consultation Process

After five months spent discussing early draft policies with SMBC and Forum members, pre-submission consultation was set to run from 25 November 2017 - 12 January 2018.

To maximise early engagement in the consultation, the Draft Neighbourhood Plan was formally launched at a Drop-in Exhibition held in the hall of the local secondary school from 10am-2pm on Saturday 25th November 2017. The event was heavily promoted with another big publicity campaign, including leaflets, external posters around the villages and event publicity in the local press and community magazines.

With help from one of the Resident Associations and Solihull Council, we gathered together enough stands to display 24 posters specifically created to highlight the importance and proposed Policy content of the Draft Neighbourhood Plan – as shown at <https://www.kdbh-np.org/exhibition-draft-np>.

Display stands were grouped into areas for each major topic covered in the Plan. Throughout the Exhibition, a Forum Team subject matter specialist(s) was available in each topic area to provide information and answer questions.

On entry, attendees were personally welcomed and handed a short questionnaire to capture their feedback. This asked attendees to indicate their degree of support for each proposed Policy, ranging from 1 Strongly Disagree to 5 Strongly Agree. There was space also for short comments. More generally, flipcharts were available for attendees to provide comments via Post-It notes.

Draft KDBH Neighbourhood Plan Policy Showcase 25 November 2017						
Your feedback is vital in helping us to refine and improve the Draft Plan in preparation for its formal submission to Solihull Council. In particular, if there are areas that you believe could be improved, please let us know what you suggest should be changed.						
Please circle one number for each Policy (1 = Strongly Disagree through to 5 = Strongly Agree).						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Comments
<b>Village Character and Natural Environment</b>						
VE1 Green belts and Landscapes	1	2	3	4	5	
VE2 Conservation Areas	1	2	3	4	5	
VE3 Heritage Assets	1	2	3	4	5	
VE4 Green Spaces	1	2	3	4	5	
VE5 Green Streets	1	2	3	4	5	
VE6 Trees, Hedges and Woodlands	1	2	3	4	5	
VE7 Public Art and Sculpture	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>Housing</b>						
H1 Scale of New Housing	1	2	3	4	5	
H2 Housing on Allotment and Larger Sites	1	2	3	4	5	
H3 Affordable Housing	1	2	3	4	5	
H4 Housing Types	1	2	3	4	5	
H5 House Size	1	2	3	4	5	
H6 Apartments	1	2	3	4	5	
H7 Mixed Housing	1	2	3	4	5	

The hall was packed throughout the 4 hour Exhibition. Of the nearly 500 attendees, many spent a significant amount of time reviewing the material, chatting to the Forum team and responding to the questionnaire. We were hugely encouraged not only by the high turnout, but also by the extent of positive feedback received on the quality of the Exhibition itself and level of support for the Draft Policies.



All documentation used at the Exhibition was made available the following day on our website via pages dedicated to the Draft Neighbourhood Plan <https://www.kdbh-np.org/copy-of-draft-np-1>. The Draft Plan itself could be read or downloaded either as an e-book (accessible from anywhere), or in more traditional pdf format in full or by individual sections. For those without access to computers, or preferring to read printed material, paper copies of the Plan and questionnaire were made available at the local library. Our two Resident Associations provided a library service and could be contacted by anyone wanting to borrow a printed master copy to read for a few days.

The Draft Plan webpages also provided full details on the consultation process and the various ways to provide feedback. These included: on line from our website via a process that emulated the Exhibition paper questionnaire; via an email address dedicated to Plan feedback; or via paper using a feedback box located in a prominent place at the local library.

As legally required, we sent out 65 e-mails/letters to a range of Statutory Consultees (official bodies that may be affected by our proposals), including Solihull Council.

Section 4 of the Consultation Statement provides a summary analysis of the feedback and key themes arising. The second part of the document includes every comment received, along with the Forum response highlighting where the Draft Plan has been amended following feedback.

In summary, there was a high level of support for the Plan and its Policies. Most negative feedback related not to the Neighbourhood Plan, but to the SMBC Local Plan Review and its proposed new housing allocations in the Area.

### 3.4. Evidence Base Overview

The analysis, objectives and policies in this Neighbourhood Plan have drawn on a variety of sources as follows:

- the Residents' Survey in May/June 2016 that was distributed to 7,700 homes and received an outstanding 2,844 responses
- the Business Survey undertaken in November 2016 that was hand delivered to 332 premises and generated 65 responses
- the local Housing Needs Assessment, undertaken in spring 2017 by AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited, that provides independent analysis of KDBH-specific needs, including feedback from local estate agents
- the KDBH Heritage and Character Assessment Study, undertaken by Urban Vision Enterprise CIC, October 2017
- the KDBH Masterplanning/Design and Design Coding Study, undertaken by Urban Vision Enterprise CIC, November 2017



- KDBH Housing Density Map, created using the mapping database covering the KDBH Neighbourhood Area provided by Solihull Council (see Appendix 1)
- the KDBH Local Green Space Assessment, March 2018
- KDBH Draft Plan Healthcheck Report, April 2018
- the Knowle and the Dorridge and Hockley Heath Ward profiles 2016 prepared by SMBC
- Knowle Conservation Appraisal Area 2007
- Solihull Borough Local Character Guide 2016
- Solihull Borough Landscape Character Assessment 2016
- Warwickshire Landscape Guidelines: Arden
- BS5837: Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction
- KDBH Transport Study Data, SMBC Spring 2018
- the analysis of what makes our villages distinctive and the key issues to be addressed in the Neighbourhood Plan were obtained from the survey results, public consultations, workshops and meetings with key service providers including the schools and Residents' Associations
- data on population, employment, housing and car ownership largely obtained from the Office for National Statistics and Solihull Observatory
- landscape data obtained from Solihull Observatory
- flood risk data obtained from the Environment Agency
- data on school places, retail outlets, businesses and sports provision obtained from SMBC.

## Section 4: Our Villages Today

### 4.1. Location and Setting

KDBH is a rural settlement which lies about three miles south east of Solihull town centre.

It is four miles from Birmingham International Airport and the National Exhibition Centre and is separated from these by Green Belt, through which the M42 passes.

The villages are encircled by countryside and designated Green Belt which provides a green landscape setting. The countryside forms part of the historic Arden landscape and is described as ‘distinctly rural in character despite the close proximity to the urban edge’ (Solihull Landscape Character Assessment 2016).



### 4.2. Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath

The historic medieval core of Knowle is centred on the High Street and the church of St John the Baptist, St Lawrence and St Anne, at the junction of two historic routes. It is protected by Conservation Area status. Station Approach, with buildings from the later Victorian and Edwardian periods, and part of Granville Road in Dorridge are also protected by Conservation Areas. There are a number of listed buildings, of which Knowle Church and Grimshaw Hall off Hampton Road are Grade 1 Listed.




The Area experienced significant post-war development and there is now no obvious settlement boundary between the villages of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath. They are linked by development along Station Road and Widney Road, to such an extent that they now form one built up area. However, each village has its own shopping centre, park, primary school, community/village hall and churches which underpin the sense of individual village identity. The photographs on the cover pages of this Plan provide views of the village centres to provide a sense of place.

### 4.3. Population Profile

The population of KDBH in 2011 was 18,958 (source: 2011 Census) living in 7,624 households. The latest estimate is almost 20,000 residents in 8,068 households. About half live in Knowle (Ward profiles 2016).

Other key statistics are\*:

- 56% of the population has lived in KDBH for over 20 years and a further 20% for between 11 and 20 years
- the age structure has a larger proportion of middle age and older people than Solihull Borough
- the average age has risen from 42.3 years in 2001 to 46.7 years in 2011
- the number of people aged 75+ is estimated to grow by about 500 over the Plan period.



\* Sources-Housing Needs Assessment, AECOM 2017; Ward Profiles 2016

## 4.4. Housing Profile

The KDBH Housing Needs Assessment and the Residents' Survey provide a snapshot of local housing characteristics which include:

**Housing density:** the density of established housing areas is typically less than 20 dwellings per hectare, although some locations in or around the village centres have higher densities. More recent developments have densities around 35 dwellings per hectare. (See Appendix 1).

**Accommodation type:** 59% of houses are detached; 21% are semi-detached; 7% are terraced; 11.5% are flats. This is a higher proportion of detached houses than elsewhere in the Borough.

**Accommodation size:** the average number of bedrooms is 3.83, higher than elsewhere in the Borough.

**Tenure:** nearly 86% of residential property is owner occupied. 5% is for social rent.

**House prices:** are amongst the most expensive in the Borough.

**Affordable Housing:** there are about 58 social housing lettings across the Area, with about 150 people on the Council's waiting list.



## 4.5. Housing Growth

The Area has accommodated significant levels of housing growth over the years. In the last 5 years, planned development has delivered new houses at: Hampton Road, Knowle; Four Ashes, Bentley Heath; and Middlefield, Knowle (under construction). This, together with windfall developments such as those at Old Silhillians and Knowle High Street, has increased the number of houses and apartments by almost 500.

The Area continues to be under enormous development pressure. The graphic overleaf shows the sites put forward for development in KDBH in response to the SMBC's "Call for Sites" in 2016.

## 4.6. Economic Role


Employment in KDBH is mainly provided by the local shops, services, offices and schools. Most workers travel out of the villages to the main employment centres of Birmingham, Solihull and Shirley town centres, hospitals, Jaguar Land Rover, the airport and NEC and the business parks at Blythe Valley and Birmingham Business Park.

The Ward Profiles 2016 reveal that:

- there are around 4,000 jobs in Knowle and 1,900 in Dorridge (including Hockley Heath)
- over 44% of adults aged 16 to 74 are qualified to NVQ level 4 (degree level or above)
- 28% work in the public sector and above average numbers work in the finance and business sectors
- the self employment rate is higher than the Borough average and is increasing.

This economic data correlates with the housing data to indicate that KDBH provides homes and a lifestyle that attracts the skilled workers necessary to support the wider economy.

**Land offered to SMBC for potential development in KDBH  
Call for Sites - January 2016**



Plan showing the **KDBH Neighbourhood Boundary Area (Orange)**

**Arden Triangle (continuous line)**

Land along Gate Lane was offered for Employment and Other Uses

## 4.7. Transport

Access to the motorway network is good and only a short drive by car to Birmingham Airport and Birmingham International Rail Station.

Train services from Dorridge and Widney Manor stations to Birmingham and London are good and are heavily used by commuters.

There are two main bus routes: the S2 from Solihull to Dorridge and the S3 from Wythall to Dorridge via Shirley, Solihull, Knowle and Bentley Heath. Both provide an hourly service through the day. In addition, the S3 (only) runs at hourly intervals during evenings and on Sundays.

The 87 and 88 services run between Solihull and Balsall Common, via Knowle, with the 87 continuing on to Coventry. The essentially hourly services run through the day but not in the evenings or on Sundays.

Car ownership is high - 55% of all homes in Knowle and 59% in Dorridge own 2 or more cars.

## 4.8. Education, Community Facilities and Recreation

In addition to four primary schools, the Area is served by the Arden Academy and Sixth Form centre secondary school.

Health services are provided by three doctors' surgeries and a number of dental and other health related practices.

Outdoor sports clubs and facilities include: Old Silhillians (football, hockey and rugby); Knowle FC; three cricket clubs; Knowle and Dorridge running club; Knowle and Dorridge Racquets Club; Copt Heath Golf Club; Four Ashes Driving Range; and Knowle Ramblers. Knowle and Dorridge each have a Scout Group housed in their own premises. The canal is also a popular source of recreation for boating, walking and fishing.

Indoor activities take place mainly at Arden Academy, the church halls and village/community halls.

## 4.9. What Makes KDBH Distinctive?

This snapshot of village life provides the background for an understanding of what makes KDBH distinctive. The Residents' Survey and the Heritage and Character Study reveal that the most important and valued characteristics are:

- easy access to Green Belt and countryside
- village atmosphere
- clear separation from Solihull and other settlements
- quality of property and pride in place
- good schools
- good transport links
- green and natural environment
- local amenities.



Knowle locks

## Section 5: Opportunities and Key Issues

New development brings both opportunities and challenges that need to be identified, planned for and addressed through policies in our Neighbourhood Plan. Drawing on all the evidence base, the table in Appendix 2 summarises the opportunities and key issues facing KDBH. The key issues are highlighted in the boxes below, along with some supporting commentary. Some of these, while not directly related to land use, are very relevant in providing planning context in terms of eg. ensuring the sustainability of future development and indicating areas of priority for potential Section 106 or Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) developer contributions.

### 5.1. Housing Growth

#### Scale of Development

One of the biggest challenges facing the community is how to make provision for a proportionate amount of new housing without spoiling the character and setting of the Area and without exacerbating infrastructure problems.

#### Risk of Urbanisation

Maintaining separation of KDBH from Solihull and other settlements is a high priority for residents, together with minimising the loss of Green Belt and landscape in the adjoining countryside.

Housing in KDBH has expanded rapidly over a relatively short period of time but facilities and amenities have not increased accordingly. Residents have expressed strong concerns that additional housing will put pressure on already stretched amenities. Residents overwhelmingly want brownfield land to be used first wherever possible. However KDBH only has one small brownfield site, and less than a handful of non Green Belt sites, which together have capacity for less than 100 houses in total to put towards any allocated housing.

The strong desire to remain distinct from other settlements has land use implications, particularly in terms of resisting significant new development to the West of the KDBH built area out to the motorway beyond which are the major conurbations of Solihull, Blythe Valley and Shirley.

### 5.2. Housing Mix

#### Changing Housing Needs

There is a need to provide a more appropriate range of housing types and sizes in KDBH to meet future needs, whilst recognising that residents want to maintain a similar balance of styles (detached/semi-detached/terraced)

There is an emerging mis-alignment between the types and sizes of homes available in the Neighbourhood and the needs of the community. Demand is shifting towards relatively smaller housing - for older households who wish to downsize; for young families; and for growing numbers of people living on their own. This shift in demand away from very large houses to more 'average' 2-4 bedroom homes is reflected in feedback from the Residents' Survey. However, residents still want new houses to reflect existing styles with an emphasis on detached properties.

In short, there is a need to provide an appropriate mix of housing types in KDBH to meet future needs.

**Downsizing:** Careful consideration needs to be given to the most appropriate type and design of accommodation in our Area - well-off, older residents do not want to move from spacious housing into 'shoeboxes'. Where this need can be successfully met, it will 'free up' housing supply, releasing more family accommodation and reducing rates of under-occupancy.

**Specialist Accommodation for Older People:** KDBH's demographic means that the current and emerging needs of a growing number of older people are a priority for our Area. Policy needs to provide support for a significant increase in affordable market sheltered, extra-care and accessible housing as part and parcel of the delivery of new housing development.

**Young Families:** Many younger households in the Area can afford market housing to rent, but cannot afford to buy at full market prices. The affordability gap suggests that some could take advantage of discounted market housing and part-ownership models (intermediate housing).

The Residents' Survey shows strong support for starter homes.

**Single Households:** Policy should consider how to meet the needs of people who wish to live alone but remain in the Area to preserve local family and friendship ties. A bias towards smaller homes and making available forms of shared equity would offer a means of catering for these needs.

**Affordable Homes for People on Low Incomes:** Given the current low level of social rented accommodation in KDBH, consideration needs to be given to how any increase in this type of housing is well integrated into the community. For example, one approach to help integrate housing of a particular tenure (eg affordable housing) is to spread it around a development, avoiding obvious concentrations of that tenure (this approach is known as 'pepper-potting'). Another approach is to build 'tenure blind', which means applying careful design so that properties in different tenures or ownerships (eg affordable housing and market housing) cannot be distinguished from one another.

### 5.3. Design

#### Poor Design Standards in Recent Developments

Some aspects of the most recent developments have been out of keeping with the character of the Area, particularly in relation to higher densities, poor layout and design.

Recent developments have prompted strong criticisms from local residents. Our Plan policies place strong emphasis on scheme design to make sure future development is more in character with, and sympathetic to, the specific context of our Area.

Design considerations are an equally high priority for our local businesses. In our survey, 73% of businesses responded that commercial building design should be in keeping with the scale, location and appearance of existing buildings; and 68% that business development should in harmony with the rural character of the Neighbourhood and sit well in the landscape.

#### Top 3 factors for the design and layout of new housing in KDBH:

1. Keep development in line with current housing design and streetscape (65%)
2. Adequate parking on premises (64%)
3. Reflect the current, generally lower than average, housing density (58%)

Source Residents' Survey

For any major new development, the Forum would seek to actively work with all relevant stakeholders in developing Concept Masterplans to help ensure that future development is more in character with the Area and avoids some of the issues with recent new housing. This has already started, with early involvement in the Concept Masterplanning for the large sites SMBC propose allocating in KDBH in their Draft Local Plan Review (on a 'without prejudice' basis).

## 5.4. Traffic and Transport

### Parking:

#### Lack of Parking in Village Centres

All village shopping centres have problems with a shortage of parking spaces. Local businesses are suffering because some shoppers, staff and customers cannot park and some shoppers are going elsewhere.

#### Commuter Parking at Dorridge Station

Over the last ten years, the huge growth in both in and out of Area commuters has not been met by commensurate additional parking provision.

In our surveys - and consistently in every form of consultation undertaken over more than two years of Plan development - residents and businesses alike highlight parking as a top issue.

71% of business survey respondents rated parking as 'poor'. An overwhelming 80% said parking was the top priority for infrastructure investment. Similarly, when asked what the Plan should consider in terms of allocating land for business use, 59% responded parking.

Business concerns relate to parking both for staff and for customers, and the two are inextricably linked. In the survey, over 75% of staff travel to work by car (partly due to inadequate public transport) but 57% of staff do not have parking on site. This lack of on-site parking has an adverse knock-on effect on traffic flow and road safety, where staff park on streets.

*"None of our staff can use public transport, no service at needed times"*

*"Insufficient parking for employees - they take up shoppers parking spaces - so shoppers stay away"*

There is also a view that shoppers' parking spaces are being taken by employees, causing displacement, although recent changes to charging are aimed at discouraging this.

From a shoppers' perspective, all village centres have major issues with under-provision of parking, leading a number of residents to state that parking issues have caused them to travel elsewhere to access facilities.

The other major parking-related issue is at Dorridge Station, where, again, this affects availability of parking for local shoppers.

Given the existing issues with parking capacity, and no obvious brownfield land available for expansion, a priority consideration to ensure sustainable new development is a robust assessment of how additional parking needs will be met - a concern reflected in the Plan policies T1-T4.

### Transport and Traffic Management:

#### Inadequate Public Transport

Except for day time services to Solihull, public transport to other nearby population centres, employment, education, retail and leisure facilities are poor - which means that the vast majority of journeys are made by private car.

#### Need to Reduce Car Use

More needs to be done to encourage walking, cycling and sustainable modes of transport.

#### School Run Congestion

There are problems outside schools at peak times of drop-off and pickup, interrupting the free flow of traffic and compromising road safety.

Again, although not directly land use matters, an understanding of these issues will be important to ensuring the sustainable design of any major new development, such as that being proposed by SMBC. These proposals also include relocation / rebuilding of school(s), driving a focus on designing to avoid issues of school run congestion in new development. These are important considerations behind a number of policies, in particular: T5, T6, T7, T9 and ECF2.

## 5.5. Village Character and Natural Environment

### Protect Green Space and the Environment

The Residents' Survey shows a strong desire to maintain village atmosphere and character, particularly of the conservation areas; to preserve existing publicly accessible parks, open spaces and green spaces within and surrounding the villages; to ensure that any new developments maintain public rights of way; and also to improve footpath networks to provide access to the surrounding countryside. The natural environment, particularly trees, landscape and ecology, needs to be protected.

## 5.6. Education and Community Facilities (including Health Care, Sport, Recreation and Leisure)

### Education:

#### School Places

Residents are concerned that new housing growth may mean that their children may not be able to access places in local schools or in a school of their choice, particularly at primary level.

#### Lifelong Learning

Beyond statutory education, there is a desire to improve access to education facilities within the Area across all age ranges.

The Residents' Survey showed there is currently a high level of satisfaction with the provision of statutory education, although some restriction on the choice of primary school for those moving in to the Area. This has raised concerns around the impact of new housing development.

Whilst small overall, concerns about the lack of sufficient facilities for pre-school and childcare are considered significant when related to the target group. Residents also expressed a desire for improved provision of (and publicity for) facilities for adult/older age lifelong learning.

### Community Facilities:

#### Strain on Community Services

A major area of concern for residents is that new development will put even greater strain on vital community services, particularly health. Timely access to an appropriate practitioner is an issue for many residents, with concerns that access to a doctor of choice will become increasingly difficult with any further new development.

#### Improve Wellbeing

There is a high level of dissatisfaction with the suitability and availability of indoor and outdoor facilities in the Area for sport, leisure, exercise and recreation.

#### Social Facilities

There is a need for improved social facilities for the young (under 18's) and for the elderly to have better access to a range of facilities at appropriate times.

While there is a high level of satisfaction with the quality of healthcare, some existing GP services are already stretched due to the rate of recent developments prompting concerns about the impact of new housing development. Similar concerns have been raised in relation to the impact of additional housing on other community facilities.

Businesses also suggest an increase in premises available for leisure activity.

## 5.7. Employment including Retail and other Commercial Uses

### **Protect Employment and Shops**

Residents and business are of one accord that the Plan needs to define policies that protect existing employment sites, promote appropriate opportunities for people to work in the Area, and maintain the mix, balance and vibrancy of local shopping facilities and services.

## 5.8. Communications Infrastructure and Utilities

### **Improve Communications**

Improvement in the speed of broadband and resolving issues with patchy mobile phone coverage is needed to enable and improve business effectiveness and new, more sustainable, ways of working.

### **Modernise Infrastructure**

Housing in KDBH has expanded rapidly over a relatively short time period, but the infrastructure has not increased accordingly - a view confirmed by 88% of business survey respondents.

Residents strongly support facilitating working from home.



### 6.3. Objectives

The analysis has led to the definition of a number of Objectives set out below and addressed in the following policy sections.

<b>HOUSING</b>	<p>Plan for appropriate and proportionate growth in new, high quality homes without over-provision and without compromising what makes our villages distinctive.</p> <p>Plan for new homes to be well integrated into the existing community and built environment, sited in sustainable locations, and meet locally identified, changing needs for all life stages.</p> <p>Plan for an ageing population.</p>
<b>DESIGN</b>	<p>Improve the quality and appropriateness of future new developments by focusing strongly on robust application of policies in the design stage.</p> <p>Require production of 'Concept Masterplans', in discussion with the community (through the Neighbourhood Forum), for any new development in KDBH of 20 or more houses.</p>
<b>VILLAGE CHARACTER AND NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	<p>Prioritise local distinctiveness in every element of change and growth.</p> <p>Safeguard our natural environment, enhance biodiversity, maintain a high quality landscape both for its own sake and for the setting it provides for historic settlements, and ensure that the edges of settlements meet appropriately with the countryside.</p> <p>Limiting the impact and visual intrusion of new development on the surrounding countryside by respecting skylines and the 'low landscape capacity' identified in SMBC's Local Character Assessment.</p> <p>Protect and enhance the Neighbourhood's heritage and open spaces.</p>
<b>TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT</b>	<p>Ensure adequate parking in all new housing developments, seek on-going improvements to roads and parking, and improve traffic management to facilitate flow at key locations.</p> <p>Target a range of measures to reduce reliance on car usage.</p>
<b>EDUCATION AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES</b>	<p>Ensure sufficient capacity in local schools to accommodate any new housing proposed and to allow families in KDBH to access their choice of primary school.</p> <p>Ensure community facilities and services (including healthcare, sport and recreation, and emergency services) meet the needs of the diverse and growing community through protection of, investment in, and ongoing access to new and improved facilities in tandem with new housing growth.</p>
<b>EMPLOYMENT, INC RETAIL AND OTHER COMMERCIAL USES</b>	<p>Recognise the importance of local shops and businesses, support and maintain their presence, encourage retention of existing employers and promote appropriate new economic and employment opportunities in suitable locations.</p>
<b>COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE</b>	<p>Seek on-going improvements to the utility infrastructure and digital connectivity - especially mobile phone reception and broadband.</p>
<b>SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</b>	<p>Actively promote sustainable development across the economic, social and environmental realms to deliver positive improvements in the quality of the built, natural and historic environments, as well as quality of life, in line with residents' needs and priorities.</p>

By implementing these objectives, this Neighbourhood Plan seeks to achieve the following;

- the community has more control of future development in their Neighbourhood, and in particular, the boundaries of our Area are respected
- there will be a sufficient and appropriate housing mix to meet the needs of the community, with an emphasis on increasing the availability of homes for local people, starter homes and homes for 'downsizing', as well as specialist housing such as care homes
- new development will contribute positively to the village atmosphere and will respect the design, appearance, scale, location and density of existing buildings and landscape
- throughout the Area, historic and natural features - including landscape, trees and hedgerows - will have enjoyed enhanced protection. Key local habitats and wildlife biodiversity will have been protected and, where possible, improved
- open green space and recreational areas will have been maintained and improved, with increased provision for walking and cycling
- car parking will have improved, with better availability and fewer restrictions. Issues relating to commuter parking, shoppers' parking and parking at or near schools will have eased
- local facilities, including village halls, schools, sports and leisure places will have been maintained and enhanced to promote a sense of community and encourage a healthy lifestyle
- there will be more businesses in the Area that will continue to offer a diverse range of local retail and service businesses and provide additional employment opportunities
- investment will have led to improvements in the condition of roads and pavements
- the Neighbourhood Forum will have worked with Solihull Council to establish defensible Green Belt boundaries to prevent excessive development expansion into surrounding countryside.



A festive Knowle High Street

## **KDBH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN POLICIES**

Plan Policies are integrated and should be read as a whole, ie. application of any one Policy is expected to satisfy the requirements of other relevant Plan Policies.

For example, application of Housing Policies will be expected to comply with relevant Transport, Design, Environmental and other Policies even if not explicitly stated in an individual policy.

In this Section, orange boxes are used to highlight the overall Policy Goals for each main Section topic. Green boxes are used to highlight individual policies within each Section.

## Section 7: Village Character and Natural Environment

The policy drivers and target outcomes are to:

- safeguard Green Belt open countryside
- maintain and improve the village setting and feel
- ensure clear separation from Solihull and surrounding villages
- safeguard ease of access to surrounding open countryside
- avoid housing development on large Green Belt sites
- protect important trees, hedgerows and woodlands
- preserve and restore key biodiversity features
- promote the maintenance and improvement of green spaces
- protect the landscape and historic and natural features
- give the Community a meaningful say in the design and layout of new developments in respect of landscape and biodiversity impacts and their mitigation.

This gives rise to the following policy goal.

### 7.1. Village Character and Natural Environment – Policy Goal

Our policies aim to maintain separation of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath from Solihull, Shirley and surrounding villages by protecting the Green Belt from inappropriate development whilst ensuring easy access to the countryside. The setting, character and feel of the villages, their historic cores and the natural environment will be protected. The provision of new features that enrich the quality of the natural environment will be supported. Existing and new assets will be carefully managed and improved.

### 7.2. Policy VC1: Green Belt and Landscape

National and local Green Belt policies will be applied to the area beyond the built-up area of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath. In the limited circumstances where development may be permissible, and where necessary to safeguard the openness of the Green Belt, permitted development rights may be withdrawn.

Any development must be in harmony with the rural character of the villages' surroundings and sit well in the landscape. All development proposals should demonstrate how they have taken account of the setting of the built up areas within the wider landscape. Proposals shall have regard to the principles set out in: the Warwickshire Landscape Guidelines: Arden; the Solihull Borough Landscape Character Assessment 2016; and the Solihull Borough Local Character Guide 2016.

The built-up area of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath is defined by the inner Green Belt boundary. It is anticipated that this boundary will be revised as part of the Local Plan Review to remove any sites currently in Green Belt that are allocated for housing by the Council. The area

beyond this revised boundary will be subject to national and local Green Belt policies. Application of the policies will help achieve key aspects of the Neighbourhood Plan's Policy Goal.

Under the policies, there are a limited number of exceptions where new building may be permissible. One example is the replacement of a building provided that the new building is in the same use and not materially larger than the one it replaces. Another is that the extension or alteration of a building does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building. The limitation of size is, in the main, directed at limiting the impact on the openness of the Green Belt.

It would normally be permissible for a building to be extended again under permitted development rights, but in the Green Belt further development could impact on its openness, contrary to the intent of paragraph 89 of the National Planning Policy Framework. Where the effect of further development on the openness of Green Belt is relevant, the Neighbourhood Forum will seek the removal of permitted development rights in relation to the alteration and extension of dwelling houses and development within the curtilage of houses (within Schedule 2, Part 1 A and E of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015) will be withdrawn.

The countryside surrounding the built-up area falls within the historic Arden landscape. Guidelines for maintenance and enhancement are laid out in the Warwickshire Landscape Guidelines: Arden. These guidelines date from the 1990's but the principles remain valid.<sup>1</sup>

### 7.3. Policy VC2: Conservation Areas

Within the conservation areas, there will be a presumption in favour of retaining existing buildings, gardens, trees and green open spaces that contribute positively to the character and appearance of the areas. New development shall seek to conserve and enhance the Conservation Areas and their heritage assets. Building design, signage, advertising and street furniture shall be in keeping with the character of the area.

The principles and recommendations of the Knowle Conservation Area Appraisal 2007 will be applied in so far as relevant to development within it.



Station Approach Conservation Area, Dorridge

<sup>1</sup> Other useful references include the Warwickshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Report 2010; Natural England's National Character Area profile: 97. Arden, 2014; the Solihull Borough Landscape Character Assessment Report 2016; and the Solihull Borough Local Character Guide November 2016.

Conservation areas are designated heritage assets and are a finite resource. They are a fundamental component of local character and distinctiveness. Seeking positive improvements is important to sustainable development.

There are three conservation areas within KDBH – the Knowle Conservation Area; and, in Dorridge, the Station Approach and Granville Road Conservation Areas. In September 2007, Solihull Council adopted an appraisal of the Knowle Conservation Area. Various recommendations were made including some that are equally applicable at Station Approach, Dorridge. However, the recommendations have not been given the weight of Solihull's development plan.

The recommendations are equally valid today. As such, the Neighbourhood Forum is taking the opportunity of including the key provisions relating to land use within this Neighbourhood Plan. Some of the recommendations cannot be addressed through development plan policies. As such, they are the subject of Community Actions, as set out in Appendix 3.

Policy D2 of the Neighbourhood Plan relates to design in Conservation Areas.

#### 7.4. Policy VC3: Heritage Assets

Heritage assets within the Neighbourhood Area - including listed buildings, conservation areas, locally listed buildings and positive buildings in conservation areas - must be protected, conserved and enhanced when development proposals are brought forward. Positive buildings are defined in the Knowle Conservation Area Appraisal 2007.

Development affecting a locally listed building or structure, or positive buildings, will be resisted where this would include:

- demolition or part demolition unless such demolition causes no material harm to the heritage value of the asset;
- inappropriate alteration or extension; or
- a detrimental impact on the setting or context.

Proposals for the change of use of a building or structure on the local list, or a positive building, will be required to demonstrate how this would contribute to its conservation whilst preserving or enhancing its architectural or historic interest.

Applications proposing demolition that materially affects the heritage value of the asset will be required to demonstrate that the viability of continued beneficial use, restoration or conversion has been fully investigated and that there are no reasonable alternatives. Where demolition is unavoidable, provision must be made for an appropriate level of recording of the building or structure as relevant.

Pursuing sustainable development involves seeking positive improvements in the quality of the historic environment.

Heritage assets are to be protected in a manner appropriate to their significance. Listed buildings and conservation areas are protected by statute. Listed buildings in the Area are identified in Appendix A of the KDBH Heritage and Character Assessment, Urban Vision Enterprise CIC, October 2017.

Historic England identifies that local lists (buildings of local interest but not on the statutory list) also play an essential role in reinforcing a sense of character and local distinctiveness. There are a number of buildings in KDBH on the Council's local list, as shown in Appendix 4. This policy seeks to give weight to the protection, conservation and enhancement of such buildings.

“Positive buildings” are buildings that make a positive contribution to the character of the conservation area. The term is used in the Council’s Knowle Conservation Area Appraisal, where relevant buildings are identified. However, the concept is equally applicable in the other Conservation Areas within the Neighbourhood.

A review of KDBH heritage assets is overdue and the Neighbourhood Forum will pursue this with the Council as a Community Action.

In some instances, a change of material (such as glass reinforced plastic roofing instead of lead) could help deter crime. The use of alternative materials could be appropriate in circumstances where the heritage value of the asset is not compromised.



The Knot Garden, Knowle

## 7.5. Policy VC4: Green Space

In line with the National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 76 and 77, the Neighbourhood Plan designates the land identified below as Local Green Space where new development is ruled out other than in very special circumstances:

1. Wychwood Woods
2. Hampton Road / Kixley Lane (rear of Millar Scheme)
3. Wychwood Roundabout East Corner
4. 8 Metre Strip Along Purnells Brook, Part of Former Bypass Route
5. Knot Garden, Knowle
6. Knowle Children's Field
7. Land at Knowle Square
8. St John's Close, Knowle
9. Knowle Allotments
10. Knowle Park, Jobs Close and Local Nature Reserve
11. Land at Arden Academy (part)
12. Land at end of Moorfield Avenue
13. Bentley Heath Park
14. Bentley Heath Allotments
15. MIND Garden
16. Middlefield Park, Grove Road
17. Green Island at Edstone Close
18. Land at Conker Lane
19. Hanbury Park
20. Copse at Dorridge Road / Avenue Road


Other areas of green space and woodland will be protected against significant loss or harm to their character, setting, accessibility, appearance or amenity value, except where the community would gain equivalent or greater benefit from provision of a suitable local replacement.

The map opposite shows the designated Local Green Space, together with two areas at the rear of Knowle Park and land off Starbold Crescent, that already have Village Green status. A full assessment and justification of the Local Green Spaces designation is included in the KDBH Neighbourhood Plan Evidence Base.

This policy covers various types of green space that are to be found within the KDBH Neighbourhood Area.

Residents suggested a wide range of sites as candidates for Local Green Space (LGS). Some, such as Dorridge Park and the Grand Union Canal, are highly valued for their recreational, beauty, tranquility and wildlife benefits and meet the LGS criteria. However, where such sites already benefit from the protection afforded by Green Belt, it is deemed unnecessary to also apply the LGS designation. Where LGS sites have been proposed that lie within or adjoining large tracts of Green Belt threatened by development proposals, these have been included where they have been assessed as meeting the LGS criteria.

In addition to Local Green Space and Village Greens, there are other areas of open green space and woodland within the built area that are valued for their contribution to the environment and to the character of the Neighbourhood Area. These include amenity greenspaces such as informal recreation spaces, areas of landscape planting, treed areas, green spaces within housing developments and other incidental space. Some form part of landscape or wildlife corridors. They contribute to the attractive and green built environment that is a distinctive characteristic of the Neighbourhood Area and, as such, will be protected under paragraph 74 of the National Planning Policy Framework.



For Village Green sites, 'restricted works' (such as the erection of fencing or the construction of buildings or other structures) cannot be carried out except with the consent of the Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Given this protection under the Commons Act 2006, no related Neighbourhood Plan policy is needed in relation to these two areas.

Although the development of green space is generally precluded, works that would enhance the green space experience are to be encouraged. These include the provision of walking routes; also wildlife areas, hedgerows, wild flower meadows and bird and bat boxes. The provision of new green space is also encouraged, particularly where this can be linked to green corridors.

The matter of a full Green Spaces Plan is addressed under Community Actions.

## 7.6. Policy VC5: Green Streets

KDBH is characterised by green streets. New development must respect, maintain and, so far as is reasonably practicable, enhance the green character of residential roads. Where loss of frontage planting is unavoidable, applicants shall include, in their proposals, details of replacement planting that is in keeping with the green character of the road.

Green Streets are those where houses are set behind mature front gardens with strong natural boundary treatments, typically comprising mature trees and hedges, which provide a soft, natural semi-rural setting. Some also have grass verges containing mature trees which add to the verdant village character. This is a distinctive characteristic of the Area, as recognised in the Heritage and Character Assessment Study.

Examples (in a non-exhaustive list) of roads where the Green Streets policy would apply are Station Road, Tilehouse Green Road, Widney Road and Warwick Road. These are all key village routes. However, the policy will be applied to all streets where their green nature can be recognised as a characteristic feature. The use of native species is to be preferred, except where this would be out of keeping with the local planting.

## The Natural Environment

### 7.7. Policy NE1: Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland

On sites with mature or important trees or hedgerows, groups of trees or woodland, the protection of such features shall be promoted in any development scheme. A tree survey will be required to accompany planning applications for any development of sites containing such features.

Where such features make a significant contribution to the street scene or landscape but are not protected within the proposed development, such proposals will be resisted.

Promoting the protection of trees, hedgerows and woodland is a priority for residents. Such features are a valued amenity and an integral part of the Area's green character and appearance.

This policy applies not just to features subject to a Tree Preservation Order but also to all reasonably healthy features that have an amenity or screening value. On all sites, there will be a presumption that a tree survey will accompany the planning application and that the provisions of this policy are relevant. Amongst other things, the tree survey must plot accurately positions

and crown spread and identify the species and comparative age and health. Steps to protect trees and hedgerows during site clearance and building operations shall be detailed in planning applications where applicable. BS5837 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction provides guidance on the appropriate level of survey and assessment required.

## 7.8. Policy NE2: Habitats and Biodiversity


There will be a presumption against the development of designated Local Wildlife Sites and Local Nature Reserves in the Plan Area, including identified Potential Wildlife Sites pending their assessment.

On other sites where there are key local habitats and biodiversity, developments shall promote the preservation and restoration of such features as part of the development scheme. There will be a presumption against development on or near these sites where this will put at risk their effectiveness as a wildlife refuge, corridor or habitat for flora and fauna.

Promoting the preservation and restoration of key local habitats and biodiversity is a priority for residents in Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath. Local Nature Reserves are designated by Solihull Council. Local Wildlife Sites are identified, surveyed and designated annually by the Warwickshire, Coventry and Solihull Local Wildlife Sites Partnership. Such sites should be protected from direct and indirect impacts of development. This includes sites which have been identified as 'potential wildlife sites' pending completion of their assessment.

For all applications involving sites in excess of 0.25 hectares, there will be a presumption that an ecological survey shall accompany the planning application and that the provisions of the policy are relevant. An ecological survey may be required for smaller sites where there is a possibility that key flora and/or fauna habitats exist. This requirement does not apply to applications for alterations and extensions to existing properties.

Applications should include features to encourage local wildlife, eg boundaries and barriers being made permeable to creatures such as hedgehogs, the use of native species hedgerows, and the inclusion of grassy margins.



St John's Close, Knowle is special to the community as an oasis of green in the heart of the village.

## Section 8: Housing

The policy drivers and target outcomes are:

- that new housing growth should not add to existing infrastructure pressures and should bring some wider community benefit
- that new housing development needs to be well integrated in terms of built form and community mix
- that the community has a significant say in the design and layout of new housing
- that new property is of a high standard and in keeping with its environment
- to keep development in line with established housing design and streetscape
- to reflect the current, generally lower than average, housing density
- to make provision for starter homes, sheltered housing, retirement homes and family housing
- to determine a mix of house sizes that meets the needs of the population
- to safeguard the quality of existing property.

This gives rise to the following policy goal:

### 8.1. Housing - Policy Goal

Our housing policies are directed at influencing the type, nature and occupancy of the new homes that will be built on allocated sites and through windfall developments. An appropriate housing mix will be promoted in both market and specialist schemes. The emphasis will be on meeting the needs of the residents of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath.

### 8.2. Policy H1: Scale of New Housing

A total of about 500 houses (or such number as may be determined when the Solihull Local Plan Review is adopted) shall be provided on allocated sites over the period of the Neighbourhood Plan, subject to demonstrating that the local infrastructure has, or will have, capacity to accommodate the proposed scale of development.

All three housing development sites allocated to the Area in Solihull's adopted Local Plan 2013 for the Plan period 2013 - 2028 have now been built. This policy proposes development in excess of that required by the adopted Local Plan due to the pressure for additional development now being proposed in the Solihull Local Plan Review (LPR).

Solihull's Draft LPR, November 2016, proposes two strategic site allocations in KDBH to accommodate 1,050 dwellings. These sites are at Hampton Road (300 houses) and the "Arden Triangle" (750 houses). In addition, a site located off Wychwood Roundabout has an assumed capacity for 20 houses, with an estimated capacity for a further 31 houses at the St George and St Teresa RC Primary School site.

**This scale of growth in the Neighbourhood Area is not supported by local people and the Neighbourhood Forum has objected to the draft LPR proposals.** Preparation of the LPR has been delayed and it remains at a relatively early stage of development. The Neighbourhood Plan is therefore being prepared in advance of the determination of those objections.

Notwithstanding their objections, the Neighbourhood Forum recognises that the Plan needs to make provision for additional housing within its Area. On the basis of evidence from the KDBH Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) and from the Draft LPR, the Neighbourhood Forum believes that a reasonable amount of additional development, excluding windfall housing, would be in the range 440 to 540 dwellings (expressed as about 500 houses in this Policy). However, the HNA states that the assessment of need is unconstrained by any assessment of limitations on supply, and that its conclusions should therefore be assessed against other factors including transport infrastructure, landscape constraints and flood risk.

In considering an appropriate scale of development for the Area, the Neighbourhood Forum has had regard to the evidence produced to date in support of the LPR, including the Green Belt Review and the Landscape Character Assessment, as well as the Forum's own Heritage and Character Study. In the absence of more detailed assessment of constraints, this policy makes provision for additional housing to meet a proportionate need, provided that the scale of development can be shown to be sustainable.

### 8.3. Policy H2: Housing on Allocated and Larger Sites

Housing on sites allocated in emerging and adopted development plans and on larger windfall sites shall address the matters set out below and amplified in the following text:

- setting, topography and design
- density
- movement by vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists and, where appropriate, equestrians
- off-site traffic and transportation effects
- open space provision, landscaping and gardens
- refuse storage
- capacity in local services
- construction practices
- air pollution
- capacity in statutory utilities services
- crime and community safety.

It is expected that, for each of the allocated sites or for proposals for larger sites (which are defined as sites for 20 or more dwellings), a concept masterplan or design brief including design coding will have been prepared in consultation with the Neighbourhood Forum prior to submission of a planning application. This shall be respected in the design and implementation of the project.

It is also expected that an independent design review will be conducted of proposals for strategic housing allocations at both concept masterplan stage and at the detailed design stage.

**Application:** Policy H1 above acknowledges that additional housing will be built in the Area and that this will most likely come forward through strategic housing allocations in the Solihull LPR. Whatever the outcome of the Neighbourhood Forum objections, the intent of this policy, and other relevant Plan policies, is to provide a policy framework to guide future development and achieve higher standards of built development that meet Plan objectives. This and other policies set out the Neighbourhood Forum's expectations with regard to any site allocations or proposals for 20+ dwellings that may come forward through the LPR and / or planning applications. This includes land at Wychwood Roundabout and at the St George and St Teresa RC Primary School sites, both of which have been included in the SMBC's land availability calculations.

These policies will apply alongside other relevant considerations such as National Planning policies and Local Plan policies.

**Setting, topography and design:** The National Planning Policy Framework recognises that design quality matters and that planning should drive up standards of design across all forms of development. It indicates that planning should, amongst other things, promote local character, including landscape setting, and stresses the importance of safe, connected and efficient streets, a network of green spaces and cohesive and vibrant neighbourhoods. The Heritage and Character Study, together with the Masterplanning / Design and Design Coding study (Urban Vision Enterprise CIC 2017) provide guidance on how this might be achieved in the local context.

National planning guidance supports the practice of independent design review to ensure high standards of design. This would be appropriate for any strategic allocations in KDBH and is given effect in this policy.

All the matters in Policy H2 must be satisfactorily addressed when considering the setting and design of housing developments on allocated sites. Particular care must be taken to respond to local topography and to achieve a green setting with landscaping and design that reflects that of the existing villages. Any development on the edge of the built settlements should respect the low landscape capacity and include a sensitive transition between the built environment and the open countryside or Green Belt to avoid the appearance of overdevelopment (see KDBH Heritage and Character Assessment, Urban Vision Enterprise CIC, October 2017 included in the Evidence Base).

**Density:** Lower housing density is a key characteristic of KDBH, particularly as it reflects the semi-rural nature of the Area. No absolute figure or average is set for future housing in the Neighbourhood Plan Area, but it is essential that new development reflects the locality and that any suggestion of a cramped appearance is avoided.

All other things being equal, those sites or parts of sites close to village amenities and public transport corridors or nodes are likely to be more suitable for housing of a higher density. Purpose built specialist accommodation (eg for the elderly) may also be suitable for higher densities.

**Movement by vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians:** Applications must address the safe and free flow of movement on site by vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians in a network connecting to the surrounding area, including village amenities and the countryside. Opportunities to improve linkages to the canal should be incorporated into layouts, where appropriate. Existing rights of way, including bridle paths, shall be maintained or, where this is impractical, diverted to an equally convenient alignment or new route. Such rerouted public rights of way should be provided through attractive settings. Narrow, barren footpaths between walls or high fences will not be acceptable.

**Off-site traffic and transportation effects:** Potential impacts on village car parks (including the Dorridge Station car parks), on-street parking, junctions and queuing traffic must all be considered and satisfactory mitigation measures proposed to ensure new development does not exacerbate existing issues.

**Open space provision, landscaping and gardens:** Residents in KDBH are keen to promote

green space and gardens in new developments. Proposals must identify and include opportunities to meet this need, with links to green corridors wherever possible. Considerations will include:

- ensuring that main access routes into and through a development reflect the green character of existing key routes in the villages by incorporating tree planting and landscaping along frontages
- creating wide roads with houses set back behind private front gardens, except in village centres
- providing communal greens and recreational space (including play areas)
- providing generous landscaping that reflects and enhances the distinctive green nature and natural features of the Area
- ensuring open space, particularly structural open space and landscaping, is designed and implemented at the early stages of development, where feasible.

Proposals must include practical arrangements for the maintenance and management of open spaces and landscaping in perpetuity.



Open/Green space in the 1990's Four Ashes development: Conker Lane, Dorridge

**Refuse and general storage:** Convenient and discreet provision shall be made wherever possible for the storage of receptacles used for the collection of local authority collected waste. The receptacles shall be screened from public view and the storage space shall be of a capacity adequate to meet the Council's waste collection arrangement as in force at the time of any planning permission. Consideration should also be given to making adequate provision for items such as lawnmowers and cycles.

**Capacity in local services:** Where new development will outstrip the ability/capacity of the existing physical or social infrastructure (schools including nursery provision, health care etc), there must be a clear demonstration of proposed mitigation. Where necessary, this may involve a financial contribution or other mechanism that will ensure the provision of expansion to meet the new demand, secured through a Section 106 planning obligation.

**Construction practices:** The construction phase of development can give rise to a number of negative impacts. Matters such as parking of contractors' vehicles, mud on roads, hours of working and suitable haulage routes for heavy lorries must be addressed through submission of a construction management plan.

**Air pollution:** Developments will be expected to demonstrate that they will not add significantly to levels of air pollution, particularly on key vehicular routes such as the High Street, Station Road, Lodge Road, Tilehouse Green Lane, Longdon Road and Widney Road. The introduction of traffic lights at, for example, the High Street / Hampton Road junction would be likely to lead to queuing traffic on the High Street, with potential adverse impacts on air quality as well as on the character of the Conservation Area.

**Capacity in statutory utilities services:** Respondents to the Residents' Survey felt that infrastructure was not keeping up with new development and the provision of it was insufficiently planned – a sense that new homes were being built and that the existing utilities and foul water drainage infrastructure would not be up to serving the additional user population. A delivery plan to address capacity in utilities and drainage shall accompany planning applications and be implemented as approved.

**Crime and community safety:** Designing out crime and designing in community safety are central to the design and delivery of new development. Applications should address the creation and management of safe neighbourhoods by including measures to reduce crime and the fear of crime. The Neighbourhood Forum will expect the relevant measures contained in the national police crime initiative 'Secure by Design' to be adopted at the masterplanning and application stages.

**Other relevant Plan policies:** All other housing-related policies shall be taken into account in progressing schemes. These include, but are not limited to, Policies EN1 (Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland), EN2 (Habitats and Biodiversity), H4 (Housing Mix), D1 (Character and Appearance) and policies on access and parking.

The recommendations of the Heritage and Character Assessment and the Masterplanning/Design and Design Coding Study should also be taken into account.

#### 8.4. Policy H3: Affordable Housing

50% of all new affordable housing shall be occupied by households with a strong local connection to the Area, both when first built and at the point of any subsequent re-occupation. In this context, a strong local connection means applicants who:

- are current residents of the Neighbourhood Area who have lived in the Area for a minimum of 5 years
- are past residents of the Neighbourhood Area who lived in the Area for 5 years
- have close relatives (parents, children, siblings, grandparents or grandchildren) who are current residents of the Area and have lived in the Area for a minimum of 5 years
- are currently employed in the Neighbourhood Area and have been so for at least 5 years (employer reference required)
- need to reside in the Neighbourhood Area either to provide care/support or to be cared for/supported by another member of the family who has lived in the Area for a minimum of 24 months (medical reference required).

This definition is based on suggested criteria set out in the Council's Supplementary Planning Document "Meeting Housing Needs", July 2014.

This Policy seeks to address some of the matters of tenure and layout raised by residents.

New affordable housing will be constructed to be "tenure blind", meaning that such provision is well designed and indistinguishable in appearance from market housing. In larger developments, the affordable housing shall be well dispersed across the development. The size and type of affordable housing should be broadly the same as the market provision and respect the character of the villages.

Policy P4 a) of the Solihull Local Plan 2013 expects that 40% of the dwellings on qualifying sites shall be in the form of affordable housing. This is subject to viability and other considerations. The requirements of Policy H3 above will apply to the actual provision made and will be secured through a Section 106 planning obligation.

In the event that there are insufficient numbers of qualifying applicants, the remaining properties shall be allocated in accordance with details to be approved by SMBC in conjunction with the affordable housing provider.

The Council's policy seeks 65% of affordable housing to be social rented accommodation and 35% to be for shared ownership. Residents' views, supported to some extent by the AECOM Housing Needs Assessment, show a strong preference for a higher percentage of the affordable housing provision to be in the form of shared ownership to support more young people and families. This will be pursued with the Council as part of consideration of any planning applications that come forward on strategic sites.



Middlefield Springs  
The most recent development in the KDBH Area

## 8.5. Policy H4: Housing Mix

### **Purpose built housing for older people:**

Market housing on allocated and larger sites shall make a proportionate contribution to the need for purpose built housing for older people. The total contribution sought across all allocated sites is 60 sheltered housing units and 2 dementia homes. An exception may be made where there is a clear demonstration of other ways in which the requisite provision in the Area will be met.

### **Market housing**

On those parts of allocated sites and larger sites not to be developed for the provision of specialist housing for older people, or for affordable housing, market housing shall be provided in the following sizes:

- about 15% shall be flats and apartments, predominantly 2 bedrooms
- about 7% shall be bungalows, predominantly 2 bedrooms
- about 10% shall be 2 bedroom houses
- about 34% shall be 3 bedroom houses
- about 34% shall be 4+ bedroom houses.

There is no size requirement for purpose-built/specialist accommodation for the elderly.

In addition, market housing on allocated and larger sites shall be provided in the following approximate proportions: 50% detached housing; 20% semi-detached housing; 8% terraced housing; 15% flats/apartments; and 7% bungalows.

The provision of a higher proportion of flats/apartments and bungalows, suitable for sale as starter homes or for downsizing by older people, will be supported where the homes are in keeping with local designs and streetscapes.

All schemes should pay particular regard to the character and appearance of that part of the Neighbourhood in which they would be located. There may be scope for flexibility where strong evidence is provided relevant to individual site characteristics - for example, to include a higher proportion of smaller dwellings on sites that are close to village centres or in other highly accessible locations.

The size of housing on windfall sites of less than 20 units will be determined according to the nature and characteristics of the site and its location.

This Policy applies to all new housing development, but is most relevant to allocated and larger sites, as the mix of housing on windfall sites under 20 units in size will be determined on their individual site merits. In line with Policy H2, this Policy defines larger sites as accommodating 20 or more dwellings.

The KDBH Housing Needs Assessment demonstrates a need for additional specialist housing to meet the needs of older people. Windfall sites may provide some limited opportunities to help meet this need. However, the main contribution will have to come from allocated and larger sites.

The need for sheltered housing and dementia homes is intended to apply to allocated and larger sites. If land at Wychwood Roundabout or the St George and St Teresa RC Primary School site were to be developed or redeveloped, these sites (and the school site in particular) could be suitable for older people's accommodation should the developers choose to promote such a use.

In view of the extent of specialist provision for the elderly in the Area built since 2011, and the limited amount of land available, the extent of new provision is limited to that sought in this Policy (the areas of greatest need). Provision shall be made in proportion to the size of the site compared with the total area of all the allocated and larger sites. Those sites or parts of sites close to village amenities are likely to be more suitable for such provision.

Residents in KDBH are keen to see that new housing is in keeping with established housing design and streetscapes. One important element of this is reflecting the mix of housing that exists at present, with modest adjustments to cater for demographic change. This Policy identifies the mix of new property considered to be most suitable for Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath, as evidenced in the Residents' Survey. The proportions and sizes set out in the Policy also have regard to the results of the 2011 Census and to SMBC's Strategic Housing Market Assessment.

Residents support the provision of more two and three bedroom homes (as apartments, bungalows or smaller houses), but are not supportive of one bedroom units, unless these are part of purpose built accommodation for the elderly. This is consistent with the expressed desire for the size of homes to be suitable for the needs of down-sizers and for young families, where a minimum of two bedrooms is regarded as necessary.

There may be some windfall sites which by their nature and location suggest a particular form of development, for example one and two bedroom apartments. This Policy makes provision for such developments where the character of the area is not compromised.

## 8.6. Policy H5: Apartments

Planning permission for new blocks of apartments, or for conversion of single dwellings to apartments, in residential roads of a mixed nature will be granted where the development preserves or enhances the character and appearance of the area, protects living conditions and meets car parking requirements. In particular, developers are encouraged to provide apartments well suited to the needs of those seeking to down-size.

In many respects, residents in Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath feel that developments involving new blocks of flats or apartments are not suitable in the Area. This is certainly the case where the flats or apartments would be part of a larger residential scheme of conventional houses and bungalows. It is also the case where proposals involve the conversion of single dwellings to apartments, where resident concerns relate to the adverse impact on the character of a road, car parking on frontages and loss of amenity. At the same time, downsizing is an important consideration, with a small but significant percentage of residents looking to move within the Area in the next 5 years. Apartments could have a place in roads of mixed character where a variety of house types are to be found.

For many residents who are downsizing, a modern apartment block can be an ideal solution, particularly where located close to shops, public transport and other amenities. Such developments should aim to reduce maintenance responsibilities, provide lift access and provide space standards better suited to the on-going needs of older occupiers. The provision of such accommodation is likely to release larger housing for family occupation.

Bearing in mind the views of residents, applications for new apartments will be carefully scrutinised to assess the extent to which they provide the type of accommodation needed locally, as well as their impact on the amenities of existing residents and the character of a road.

## 8.7. Policy H6: Windfall Housing

Residential development on windfall sites will be acceptable where the resultant development respects the character and appearance of its surroundings; causes no material harm to the living conditions of nearby occupiers; and provides satisfactory access.

Windfall sites could include previously developed land (brownfield sites), undeveloped infill sites (such as gaps in a built-up frontage) and, potentially, garden land. Historically, housing on such sites has made a significant contribution to the Borough's needs and the use of such sites is, in principle, supported and encouraged.

Development on windfall sites must have regard to other relevant Plan policies, including Policy H4 in relation to housing mix. The design and layout of housing on windfall sites often provides a challenge, particularly where backland or tandem development is involved. In this regard, developments must comply with the Neighbourhood Plan Policy D1 on Character and Appearance in the Design Section. In particular, the layout must reflect local patterns of development. Vehicular access must be of a satisfactory design and not give rise to any material harm through noise or disturbance.

## 8.8. Policy H7: Extensions and Alterations

Planning permission for residential development within the curtilage of dwelling houses will be granted if the design would respect the character and appearance of the area and there would be no unacceptable harm to the living conditions of nearby occupiers.

The residential development that is the subject of this Policy comprises enlargements, improvements and other alterations including extensions, garages, roof alterations and outbuildings. A wide range of alterations and extensions may fall within the definition of 'permitted development' and will not require the submission of a planning application. Where such development does require the submission of a planning application, the above Policy will apply.

Development could affect nearby occupiers in a number of ways, for example through noise and disturbance (including hours of working during construction), loss of daylight or sunlight, light pollution or overlooking. Extensions could also be overbearing, intrusive or otherwise unneighbourly as a result of their size, positioning or choice of materials. Development that would be materially harmful is to be avoided.

Policy D1 Character and Appearance provides guidance on design.

## Section 9: Design

The policy drivers and target outcomes are to:

- safeguard the quality of existing property
- ensure that new property is of a high standard and in keeping with its environment
- keep development in line with current housing design and streetscape
- secure parking on the premises
- reflect the current, generally lower than average, housing density
- minimise pollution caused by development and transport infrastructure
- reflect the priorities of residents and the business community in protecting the quality of the built environment
- protect historic features.

This gives rise to the following policy goal:

### 9.1. Design - Policy Goal

Our policies aim to secure housing and other development of a high quality of design and layout which protects and enhances the character and appearance of the built environment, harmonises with the rural setting of the Area and sits well in the landscape.

The intent of this Policy is to ensure that the design of new developments is more in keeping with the distinctive local character of the Area and is sustainable. In particular, recent developments have suffered from issues of poor design, a few examples of which are shown in Appendix 5. An example of a well designed new development that blends with its environment is shown below.



Old and new in harmony, High Street, Knowle

## 9.2. Policy D1: Character and Appearance

Planning applications for a new development, including extensions, shall demonstrate that it would be of a high standard of design and preserves or enhances the character and appearance of the Area. In KDBH this means development shall:

- be in harmony with the village character and sit well in the landscape
- where on the edge of the built up area, achieve a sensitive transition between the built environment and the open countryside
- protect, enhance and maximise the value of key natural environment characteristics including the canal, mature hedgerows and large mature trees that define the natural landscape character
- reflect layouts characteristic of the surrounding area
- be of a density characteristic of the Area
- be in keeping with the scale, siting and appearance of nearby buildings
- preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the streetscene or the area within which it is located, taking into account matters such as building lines, established plot widths, parking, access and the arrangement of front gardens, walls, railings and hedges
- echo obvious local characteristics (such as the form and type of development, roof style, fenestration, materials, means of enclosure, landscaping and hard surfacing)
- use external finishes that respect local traditions in the use of brick, tile and render with wood for decoration or other materials of construction
- provide parking spaces and garages of a size capable of accommodating a modern family car
- feature green spaces
- provide good quality public realm with well maintained streets and spaces.

Extensions (such as side and roof extensions) must be proportionate in scale and respect existing style characteristics.

This Policy is intended to apply to all new development. It is not intended to stifle innovative design where this would be appropriate in the local context.

The standard of design, particularly in some recent housing developments, has been criticised by local residents as being out of keeping with the character of the Area. Improving the quality of design of new development, together with maintaining and enhancing the character of the Neighbourhood Plan Area, are therefore important priorities for local residents. This and other policies in the Plan reflect on the features that make our villages distinctive and special. The Heritage and Character Assessment 2017, the Masterplanning and Design Coding Study 2017 and the Knowle Conservation Area Appraisal 2007 have identified these features.

Policy D1 applies to all new development, although the emphasis is on residential development in view of the proposed scale of new housing development. It is intended to complement the policy on Housing on Allocated and Larger Sites (Policy H2) which seeks to further identify and promote locally distinctive characteristics.

The recommendations of the Heritage and Character Assessment 2017 and the Masterplanning/ Design and Design Coding Study 2017 by Urban Vision Enterprise CIC, as well as the Knowle Conservation Area Appraisal, should also be taken into account in scheme design.

The Conservation Areas, as the setting of listed buildings and heritage assets, are particularly sensitive to change. More exacting requirements shall apply in such areas (see Policy D2 below).

### 9.3. Policy D2: Design in Conservation Areas

A higher standard of design is expected for all development within the Conservation Areas.

In the Knowle Conservation Area, development proposals must have regard to the Knowle Conservation Area Appraisal 2007. Building design, shop fronts, signage and advertising shall be in keeping with the scale, location and appearance of existing buildings and signage. This means that, where planning permission or advertisement consent is required:

- buildings will generally be of two storeys in height
- shop fronts and fascias shall address the six key principles for good shop front design set out in the Council's Supplementary Planning Guidance on Shop Fronts and Signs
- corporate identity should be tailored to suit the context of the building or street
- illumination shall be subdued and appropriate to the building. There shall be no backlighting of shop signs. Neon lighting will not generally be supported
- materials, lettering and colour shall be sensitively chosen and appropriate to the existing building and the area. Vibrant colours for shop fronts and signs will not be supported
- security safeguards shall be unobtrusive and of appropriate colour
- important vistas of key buildings, such as Knowle Church, shall be maintained
- street furniture and signage shall avoid cluttering the appearance of the area.

Except for building heights, these principles shall apply in the Dorridge (Station Approach) Conservation Area.

The Granville Road Conservation Area is residential; high standards of design that respect the Victorian character of the buildings and their green setting will be applied.

The protection of village atmosphere and character is a high priority for local residents and businesses alike and the Conservation Areas play a significant role in creating and maintaining that character.

The Knowle and Station Road Conservation Areas are focused on the village centres and contain a mix of commercial and residential uses. The design and appearance of new shop fronts and advertisements in particular can have a significant impact on character and appearance, for example where neon lighting is proposed. Not all new shop fronts and advertisements require planning permission but, where they do, this policy intends to strengthen the existing Council guidance, some of which is now quite old, by incorporating it into this Neighbourhood Plan policy.

Further detailed advice on the principles to be applied in the design of advertisements and shop fronts in the Conservation Areas is provided in the following documents and summarised in Appendix 6:

- Knowle Conservation Appraisal, September 2007
- The Historic Environment Supplementary Planning Guidance No. 1, 2003 Solihull Council
- Shop Fronts and Signs Supplementary Planning Guidance, 1995 Solihull Council
- Policy P16 of the Solihull Local Plan 2013.

The Granville Road Conservation Area is smaller and comprises mainly 3 storey Victorian semi-detached houses built with a consistent materials palette of red brick, tile, stone and wood architectural detailing. The properties are set within a street scene of large, established trees. The design of new development must maintain and enhance the character of this area.

## Section 10 : Traffic and Transport

The policy drivers and target outcomes are to:

- improve public transport and introduce new services
- provide more off-street parking in the centre of each village
- provide more off-street parking for rail users in Dorridge
- alleviate problems caused by on-street parking in all parts of KDBH, particularly at school pick-up and drop-off times
- relieve congestion from commuting and the school run
- improve identified roads and junctions
- improve provision for pedestrians and cyclists
- support sustainable means of transport
- future-proof transport infrastructure.

This gives rise to the following policy goal:

### 10.1. Traffic and Transport - Policy Goal

Transport infrastructure will be well designed and will respond to the needs of those living and working in KDBH. KDBH will be well connected to Solihull and the greater West Midlands, London and beyond.

Safe transport infrastructure will exist for all forms of transport and travel, both motorised and non-motorised.

Congestion and environmental harm caused by road traffic will be minimised.

Sustainable forms of transport and travel will be supported and encouraged.

Provision of off-street parking will be supported, particularly for rail commuters travelling from Dorridge station and visitors to the centres of Knowle and Bentley Heath.

Many of the policies involve interests of the Highway Authority and public transport providers. The Neighbourhood Forum will work with such stakeholders to help meet the Plan's target outcomes.



Welcome to Dorridge Station

## 10.2. Policy T1: Parking for Residents

Proposals that involve the creation of new units of residential accommodation shall make appropriate arrangements for the provision of off-street parking, situated within the development boundary.

The total off-street parking provision must allocate:-

- for each one-bed unit, one parking space
- for each two or three bedroom unit, two parking spaces
- for each unit with four or more bedrooms, three parking spaces.

In addition, adequate arrangements for visitor parking shall be demonstrated.

Off-street parking as defined above shall be in addition to integral garage spaces.

A reduced level of provision may be supported where lower-than-average car ownership can be anticipated, eg. in elderly persons' accommodation.

It will not be permissible for residents' parking needs to be met on-street unless the applicant can demonstrate that there is clearly capacity and that there are no issues with traffic flow.

This policy is designed to avoid the necessity for parking on-street. Adequate parking on the premises is one factor that residents identified as most important with regard to the design and layout of new housing. It would be permissible for parking to be delivered in dedicated off-site parking areas, but these would need to be suitably designed and proximate to the properties.

## 10.3. Policy T2: Parking for Non-Residential Premises

Proposals that involve any new non-residential development (including schools) or change of use shall make appropriate arrangements for the provision of parking for use by staff, visitors or customers/users.

Unless there are overriding considerations, such parking shall be provided off-street. Underground parking shall be considered if there is insufficient above ground space. All proposals for commercial development shall be accompanied by a travel plan showing how staff and visitor parking will be accommodated. New commercial development that generates additional pressure on existing car parks and on-street parking will be resisted.

Developments in the vicinity of St John's Close should not lead to a worsening of parking conditions in the Close.

This policy aims to ensure that the safety and free flow of traffic, including movement by pedestrians and cyclists, are not compromised by unacceptable additional on-street parking.

St John's Close is an area of particular parking stress and congestion. Further on-street parking in this area would not be appropriate. New developments must be able to demonstrate how all their parking needs could be met away from St John's Close.

#### 10.4. Policy T3: Parking at Village Centres and for Rail Users

The provision of appropriate additional off-street parking in the centres of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath, including provision for users of Dorridge Station, will be supported.

At the time any such provision is brought into use, a minimum of 20% of the spaces shall be equipped for the charging of electric vehicles. Such spaces need not necessarily be provided for the exclusive use of electric vehicles.

The new parking would have to be in a suitable position and appropriately designed so that it respects the character of the neighbourhood and does not cause unacceptable harm to the living conditions of nearby residents or compromise highway safety and the free flow of traffic. In the absence of any obvious existing land suitable for additional parking, this is an important consideration in the design and development of future strategic site allocations and/or for developer contributions to extend car parking on existing sites by eg. building tiered parking.

#### 10.5. Policy T4: Contributions to Additional Parking and Road Improvements

At the time of the grant of planning permission for any major residential development, if the Transport Assessment or Travel Plan from Policy T5 below indicates that there will be significant impact on the traffic movements within the village centres and/or the parking demand in the locations set out in Policy T3, then the residential development shall make a proportionate contribution to the provision of traffic infrastructure improvements and/or additional parking capacity in any related scheme being proposed by SMBC. The contribution will be secured through a planning obligation.

If part of the development is within a 750 metre radius of the centres (defined below) of Knowle, Dorridge or Bentley Heath, then any parking provision can alternatively be made by allocating proportionate off-street parking areas within that part of the development site itself.

The provisions must be sufficient to ensure that any unacceptable conditions regarding traffic congestion or parking capacity established at the beginning of the plan period are not exacerbated.

All three village centres suffer from traffic congestion and a shortage of off-street parking. The Plan seeks to relieve these issues in the interests of highway safety and the free flow of traffic, as well as to improve accessibility and provision for shoppers and other users. Observations show that conditions are worst within a radius of 750 metres from the respective centres, which are defined as:

- Bentley Heath - the junction of Slater Road and Widney Road
- Dorridge - the junction of Station Road and Station Approach
- Knowle - the junction of Kenilworth Road and the High Street.

## 10.6. Policy T5: Transport Assessment and Travel Plans

All planning applications that include proposals which may generate significant traffic volumes, or are likely to have a material impact on the highway network or parking demand in the village centres, shall be accompanied by a Transport Assessment and Travel Plan.

The Transport Assessment and Travel Plan must demonstrate what those impacts will be and how they will be accommodated, including how the need to travel by motor vehicles will be reduced such that there is no significant impact.

This policy aims to ensure that, following development, there would be no material harm to the safe and free flow of traffic, nor any significant affect upon the availability of public parking space within the village centres. This Policy complements the Solihull Local Plan Policy P8 on Managing Demand for Travel and Reducing Congestion.

## 10.7. Policy T6: Walking Infrastructure

Proposals that involve the creation of new units of residential accommodation or non-residential development that is to be open to visiting members of the public shall be required to demonstrate that the needs of pedestrians and cyclists have been considered.

Proposals which do not provide for safe and free flowing movement by pedestrians and cyclists will not be supported.

Where new streets are to be created and it is practicable or appropriate to do so, developments shall incorporate continuous and well-lit footways on both sides of the streets.

New footpaths for pedestrians should be separated from roads and, where practicable, from cycle paths/tracks. However, it shall be permissible for new shared footpaths/cycle ways to be provided if a developer can demonstrate that there is no practicable alternative way of accommodating the needs of both cyclists and pedestrians for safe and free flowing movement.

The creation of new roads with no footways for pedestrians will be resisted.

Proposals for the improvement of existing footways, footpaths or cycle paths/tracks, or the creation of new ones, will be supported.

Proposals for the provision of pedestrian crossings in key locations which have been agreed to be acceptable to the Highway Authority will be supported. These shall include in proximity to schools, places of worship, GPs' surgeries/health centres, recreation facilities and shops.

The purpose of this Policy is to provide for safe and free-flowing movement by pedestrians and cyclists. The availability of quality infrastructure will also encourage take up of more sustainable modes of movement in the form of walking and cycling, thereby helping to reduce the number of car journeys in and around the villages.

## 10.8. Policy T7: Cycling Infrastructure

All proposals for new major development shall incorporate continuous and well-lit cycle paths/tracks linking with village centres, schools, busy destinations and surrounding countryside unless a developer can show that such provision would not be appropriate for the development proposed. In any event, developers shall demonstrate how the design will support and promote an increase in safe and free flowing cycling.

Proposals that involve the creation of new units of residential accommodation or new buildings for employment use, or that will be open to visiting members of the public, shall incorporate adequate facilities for the secure storage of bicycles.

Where new streets are to be created and it is practicable to do so, they should include lanes which provide separation between cyclists and motor vehicles. However, it shall be permissible for new shared roads/cycle routes to be provided if a developer can demonstrate that there is no practicable alternative. New shared roads/cycle routes shall in such cases incorporate appropriate elements of design and traffic calming in order to reduce average speeds.

Proposals for the improvement or extension of existing cycle paths, lanes or tracks or the creation of new ones will be supported.

In common with Policy T6, this Policy provides for safe and convenient movement by cyclists and ensures that new developments are well connected to key amenities and to the surrounding countryside. The requirement to provide secure storage will also encourage cycle usage.

Across the Area, cyclists needs are currently very poorly met, with only one existing short stretch of cycle lane in the approach to Knowle. Increasing cycling facilities will support more sustainable travel, responding to Survey findings that over 50% of residents would cycle around the villages if facilities were improved.

An example of an extension to existing cycle lanes could be the creation of a continuous route by connecting the existing cycle lanes on Warwick Road via the former route of the Knowle Bypass, in conjunction with dedicated paths through Knowle Park to meet and cross Station Road and to connect with new cycle paths within the land bounded by Station Road, Grove Road and Warwick Road.



Cycle Store at Dorridge Station

## 10.9. Policy T8: Road Infrastructure

Proposals to improve the following roads/junctions will be supported provided that they take due account of the environmental sensitivities of the area within which they are located:

- junction of Station Approach / Avenue Road / Dorridge Road, including the reinstatement of the former roundabout
- provision of a dedicated area for taxis/drop-offs at Dorridge Station
- St John's Close
- junction of Hampton Road / Lodge Road / High Street / Warwick Road
- Gate Lane
- Poplar Road.

Any development that will be accessed from roads of local importance (as defined below) shall incorporate appropriate measures on and off-site to ensure that the safe and free flow of local traffic is not compromised. Where appropriate and practicable, there shall be more than one principal point of access to the development.

The context for this Policy is that SMBC has commissioned a range of studies to assess the traffic impacts, additional parking demands and infrastructure requirements associated with proposed site allocations included in Solihull's Draft Local Plan Review. For the KDBH Area, early indications are that there is likely to be measurable impact associated with additional traffic generated by the proposals for a total of 1,100 new houses (excluding 450+ likely windfall developments). The analysis undertaken to date already recognises the need for improvements to some junctions.

Residents are keen to mitigate congestion that is already being experienced in the Area, particularly in the roads identified. Related development will need to include measures necessary to ensure that conditions are not materially exacerbated. At the same time, environmental sensitivities will need to be taken into account. For example, in the Conservation Areas, materials appropriate to the context will need to be used and the felling of important trees avoided.

A map showing the main roads and transport links in the Area is provided in Appendix 7.

"Roads of local importance" are: Gate Lane, Earlswood Road, Grange Road, Four Ashes Road, Box Trees Road, Widney Road, Manor Road, Station Road, Tilehouse Green Lane, Mill Lane, Slater Road, Lady Byron Lane, Poplar Road, Warwick Road, Grove Road, Lodge Road, Longdon Road, Hampton Road, Kenilworth Road and Jacobean Lane.



A green Warwick Road, a main road through Knowle

### 10.10. Policy T9: Public Transport Infrastructure

The provision of bus shelters will be supported where they would have no adverse effect on the streetscape or the free flow of pedestrians.

Physical measures that facilitate Dorridge Railway Station remaining a staffed station with high frequency rail services to Birmingham and London will be supported.

Physical measures that facilitate the use of future forms of mass/sustainable transport infrastructure will be supported.

Rail services in particular, and public transport in general, are very important to residents in the Area, as well as being a vital element in enabling and encouraging more sustainable travel. Residents wish to do what they can to support existing services and to bring about improvements to the infrastructure identified in this Policy.

Measures that might facilitate the role of Dorridge Station could, for example, include provision of additional parking spaces and retail/catering facilities.

### 10.11. Policy T10: Infrastructure for Cleaner Transport

At all car parks likely to be used by members of the public, the provision of charging facilities for electric vehicles will be supported.

This Policy is complementary to Policy T3, which requires a minimum of 20% of new off-street parking spaces in the centres of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath to be equipped for the charging of electric vehicles. Looking to the future, and in line with the Government's policy of encouraging electric vehicles, this Policy applies to all car parks likely to be used by members of the public.



Dorridge Railway Station

## **Section 11: Education and Community Facilities** **(including Healthcare, Sport, Recreation and Leisure)**

The key issues raised and policy drivers are:

- that existing education and community services, including healthcare, are maintained and expanded to meet the needs arising from new development
- that facilities proposed in new housing developments are delivered and made available to the community as planned
- to address the need for new and improved sport, recreation and leisure facilities for local people, and in particular indoor leisure, sport and recreation
- to improve availability of facilities for the under 18's (eg meeting places / youth clubs)
- to expand the provision of outdoor sport, leisure and recreation facilities
- to provide facilities for sport, recreation and leisure appropriate for the elderly
- to improve access to, and availability of, facilities for the arts and culture, including library facilities
- to enable sufficient pre-school and childcare provision for those in the KDBH Area both now and in the future
- to address shortcomings in the current provision of lifelong learning and improve provision in the future.

This gives rise to the following policy goal:

### **11.1. Education and Community Facilities - Policy Goal**

To maintain, enhance and extend education and community facilities to address the needs of existing and future local residents, including for the young and the elderly. New provision provided as part of housing developments should be well located for access, particularly by walking and cycling, and operate with formal management arrangements that ensure on-going availability to, and effective use by, the community.

Maps showing the location of a range of community facilities in each of the village centres are shown in Appendix 8.

### **11.2. Policy ECF1: Formal Education - Places for Local Pupils**

Where funding from new housing development is used to support the construction of new school buildings, these facilities together with the existing local schools' infrastructure, must have the ongoing capacity within the KDBH Area to accommodate the increased demand for places. New development must not adversely impact the availability of local educational facilities to residents.

Although there is a high level of satisfaction with the current provision for statutory education, there is considerable concern about the future. The main concern arises from KDBH residents wanting to be able to access places in local schools of their choice, particularly primary, where

there is growing demand from new housing. The Policy intent is to ensure that, in the short and the long term, there is no reduction in the ability of local residents to obtain access to the schools of their choice within the Area. It should be noted that a consequence of providing more housing suitable for downsizing will be the release of more family housing, thereby potentially adding more pressure on school places from migration of pupils into the Area. All relevant parties need to work together to ensure that there always remains sufficient capacity within the local schools' infrastructure for the number of young people resident within the Area.

The intention of this Policy also applies to pre-school and childcare provision, accepting that these are subject to additional commercial considerations.

Under this policy, 'funding' shall mean not only direct funding from the public purse or from developer contributions, but also funding in kind through the use of public land.

The provisions of this Policy will be given effect through the use of planning obligations.

### 11.3. Policy ECF2: Formal Education - Location of New Schools

Where the need for additional pupil capacity has been demonstrated, provision of new or expanded schools will be supported, provided that:

- the location is conveniently situated for pupils from within the KDBH Area and has adequate car parking, safe drop off and pick up provision and easy access by local public transport without any significant additional impact on traffic congestion. New or improved walking and cycling routes will be strongly encouraged
- there would be no significant impacts on local amenities
- the buildings are well designed
- the planning application demonstrates adequate consideration of opportunities for dual-use, by the community, of school buildings and outdoor recreational facilities.

Survey findings indicate relatively high levels of concern about existing traffic congestion and safety, notably that associated with the start and end of the school day. Roads affected include Station Road and Widney Road, both of which are key routes within and between the villages. This policy aims to ensure that this situation is not exacerbated.



Arden Academy, Knowle

The interpretation of “conveniently situated” will be assessed on a case by case basis, taking into account such factors as the expected age profile of pupils and the school’s admission policy.

Proposals for new or extended schools should also comply with other relevant Plan policies including, but not limited to, those in the Design and Village Character sections. As such, the buildings should be well-designed and material detrimental effects on local amenities should be avoided.

New and expanded schools normally represent a substantial public investment. It is appropriate for the dual-use of the facilities to be considered in the application and fully documented in a Community Access Statement (See Policy ECF6).

One intention of this policy is that it should achieve long term access by the community to school buildings and recreational facilities. Dual use is supported where an appropriate balance is struck between ensuring the availability of school facilities to maximise educational opportunities for pupils and improving community benefits in terms of significant but proportionate daytime, evening and weekend use by groups and individual within the Area.

#### 11.4. Policy ECF3: Protection of Community Facilities and Services

Proposals involving the loss of sites or properties presently used as community facilities will not be supported unless:

- the community facility or asset is no longer needed
- the development would not result in a shortfall of community facilities
- new facilities of equivalent or better quality/community value will be provided in an equally suitable and accessible location.

In the case of commercial premises, the applicant must also provide evidence that:

- the current use is not economically viable
- the site or property has been actively marketed over a period of 12 months or more and there is no realistic interest in its retention in its current use or for an alternative community use.



Dorridge Surgery, one of three GP surgeries in KDBH already feeling the impact of new development.

KDBH residents value the wide range of community services and facilities that they currently enjoy and use extensively and want to protect them from being reduced or lost. Community buildings include: local schools, Knowle Library, Bentley Heath Community Hall, Dorridge and Knowle Village Halls, Downing Hall, the Knowle and Dorridge scout huts, sports facilities, local allotments, doctors' and dental practices, places of worship and their halls, and, potentially, commercial premises such as post offices, chemists and public houses that are a vital part of village life. The MIND garden (to the rear of Greswolde House, Station Road, Knowle) is also included.

This Policy seeks to safeguard assets valued by the community by applying the tests set out in the Policy. It should be noted that in the case of tests applied to commercial property there is an expectation that the premises will have been marketed at a justifiable value reflecting the current use and, where appropriate, recent business performance.

### **11.5. Policy ECF4: New Housing Development - Investment in Community Facilities**

New housing developments will contribute to enhancing local community facilities to meet the needs of new residents. This can be achieved either by building new facilities or by expanding or improving existing facilities in a way that does not adversely affect current provision. This may be funded by financial contribution (Section 106 contribution or Community Infrastructure Levy, as appropriate).

Opportunities to create new facilities that enhance the range and quality of provision available to the KDBH community as a whole will be strongly encouraged. New or enhanced facilities will be expected to be available for use on a timely basis, in accordance with agreed plans. The phasing of provision will depend on the specific circumstances of each individual development.

This policy seeks to address the local concern that further large scale housing development will lead to unacceptable adverse impacts on community services, some of which are already under pressure.

New developments will provide capacity to meet the resulting overall increased or additional need in the Area in relation to, for example:

- new or expanded health services, particularly doctors' surgeries, dental practices and related local medical services;
- suitable venues for community activities, including new or improved existing venues such as halls and church halls, scout/guide huts, buildings for youth activities and adult learning venues;
- recreation, leisure and sports facilities including indoor and outdoor sports to meet the needs of new residents of all ages; and
- the maintenance of an effective police presence and the provision of appropriate crime prevention facilities such as CCTV or street lighting.

The Neighbourhood Forum will expect these additional or enhanced community facilities to benefit the wider community.

In support of Policy ECF4, and subsequent Policies ECF5 and ECF6, the Neighbourhood Forum will work as is appropriate with other relevant bodies, residents, businesses, Solihull Council and the West Midlands Police to ensure that a list is prepared of improvements that people would like to see made to local community facilities, services and infrastructure. This list might include, for

example, improvements to village halls, the local environment, cycling or walkways. The items within this list will be candidates to be funded by the available financial contributions raised through the Community Infrastructure Levy.

### **11.6. Policy ECF5: Recreation, Leisure and Sport**

The KDBH community will welcome applications for the provision and extension of both indoor and outdoor venues for recreation, leisure and sport and in particular facilities that would:

- widen the range of local sport, leisure and recreational facilities
- allow proportionate use by all groups, community sectors and individuals within KDBH
- be conveniently located relative to sustainable forms of transport
- cause no material harm to residential amenity
- satisfy other Plan policies including but not limited to those in the Design, Transport and Village Character sections.

This policy covers aspects that would provide benefits to all members of the community, including the young and the elderly. The Residents' Survey, in particular, provides evidence on the shortage, for different age groups, of recreational, leisure and sporting facilities in the Area. The benefits of such facilities for the health and wellbeing of people of all ages are widely acknowledged. Enhanced provision would be in keeping with Solihull Local Plan strategic policies on supporting local communities.

### **11.7. Policy ECF6: Community Access and Management**

Where housing allocations include proposals for new community facilities, a Community Access Statement will be expected to be made available at the planning application stage setting out arrangements for providing and maintaining public access, as well as securing future management of the facility. The KDBH Neighbourhood Forum and local Residents' Associations will be consulted on the Community Access Statement as part of the planning application consultation.

Large scale housing allocations have been proposed on the edge of the KDBH built area that reference provision of various community facilities, including schools, a doctors' surgery, local shop and extensive sports facilities. At this stage of preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan (pending progress on the Solihull Draft Local Plan Review and the scale of new housing allocations), there is no clarity or certainty over the nature of such provision, or how and when it may be delivered.

Concept masterplans will be expected to clarify these matters before the planning application stage. In the absence of any certainty, however, this policy sets a framework for the delivery and improvement of new community services and facilities. The submission of a Community Access Statement, in consultation with residents' representatives, will provide transparency regarding how new facilities will be delivered and made available to local residents.

The Community Access Statement shall cover matters such as:

- the facilities to be provided, indicating those that are integral to the development and certain to be provided and those which may be aspirational, pending further feasibility and viability assessment
- other than for commercial facilities such as shops, the proposed arrangements for community access including significant but proportionate daytime availability to groups and individuals within KDBH, and
- the proposed management of the facility by means of a management plan to demonstrate how its long- term future will be secured.



The three Village Halls

## Section 12: Employment, including Retail and Other Commercial Uses

The policy drivers and target outcomes are to:

- protect existing employment
- maintain an appropriate balance of retail facilities within the three villages
- support creation of a business centre and other opportunities for employment/business uses
- promote and encourage working from home.

This gives rise to the following policy goal:

### 12.1. Employment - Policy Goal

To protect and enhance local employment uses, create places to do business together and maintain a range of shops and services that meet the ongoing need of all sectors of the community. Working from home and the safeguarding of employment sites will be supported, together with a new business centre.



The home of one of the childcare service providers in Knowle

## 12.2. Policy E1: Retention of Shops and Services

In respect of planning applications affecting existing shops, offices or premises for trade in services to the public, the maintenance of a balance of shops, services and premises will be a priority. The balance of uses will be assessed having regard to the impact of the proposed loss of a shop or service on the vitality of the frontage within which it is located, and on the mix of uses within the centre as a whole.

In relation to each village centre this means:

### **Dorridge:**

Proposals resulting in the loss of existing ground floor units on Station Approach, Station Road and Arden Buildings from use as shops, financial and professional services, restaurants and cafes, and hot food takeaways (within Part A of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning Act (Use Classes) Order 1987) will be resisted.

### **Bentley Heath:**

Proposals resulting in the loss of existing ground floor shop units (within Class A1) will be resisted unless it can be demonstrated that the use of the premises for that purpose is no longer viable. In such case, proposals for the change of use to other uses within Class A of the Use Classes Order, or to an alternative use that would provide benefits to the local economy or community of equal or greater benefit than the existing use, will be supported subject to there being no unacceptable impact on residential amenity or car parking.

### **Knowle:**

Within primary retail frontages, planning applications which would result in the loss of ground floor shop units (within Use Class A1) will be resisted. In secondary frontages, a mix of shops, financial and professional services, restaurants and cafes, drinking establishments and hot food takeaways and offices (within Use Classes A1 to A5 and B1a) will be supported, subject to there being adequate car parking, no unacceptable impact on amenity and no adverse impact on the character of the area. Primary and secondary frontages are shown in the graphic opposite.

The use of upper floors above ground floor commercial units for residential or office uses will be supported, provided there is no unacceptable impact on residential amenity or car parking provision.


The findings of the Residents' Survey and the Business Survey showed support for retaining and supporting local employment. The local shops, services and offices within the village centres are an important source of local employment which the policy seeks to protect. At the same time, there is a degree of dissatisfaction with the range of retail outlets, although not a consistent view about what might be ideal. The retention and encouragement of independent shops is often mentioned in the survey feedback. There is also reference to the desire for a post office in Dorridge and improved supermarket facilities in Knowle. There is a strong view from businesses that high-street shops should be protected and the current mix maintained.

This policy seeks to maintain and improve local shopping facilities and services, including local offices, to safeguard their vitality and viability and provide a comprehensive range of shops and services for use by residents. The policy aims to reflect the differing size and role of each centre. The Heritage and Character Study recommended a policy for Dorridge to maintain village centre uses within the square formed by Arden Buildings to ensure that incremental change over time to residential use would be resisted. The policy aims to achieve this and extends the protection to the Station Approach and Station Road frontages.

In common with Policy E2, this Policy will be applied where express planning permission is required, recognising that certain changes of use are ‘permitted development’.

The Bentley Heath centre is much smaller than Knowle or Dorridge and the loss of existing shop units around the junction of Widney Road and Slater Road would impact on the provision of local convenience shopping. The policy therefore seeks to protect these uses.

Knowle village centre is much larger and a different approach to maintaining a balance of uses is therefore proposed, based on primary frontages where the retention of shops will be a priority. A greater mix of retail and non retail commercial uses will be supported in both primary and secondary frontages.



### 12.3. Policy E2: New Development in Village Centres

Proposals for the erection or change of use of buildings within the village retail centres will be granted subject to:

- the scale of development being proportionate to its location
- there being no unacceptable impact on the character of the area, particularly if located in a Conservation Area
- there is no material harm to residential amenity
- an overall mix of uses is maintained consistent with policy E1
- adequate provision is made for car parking and servicing.

This policy covers shops, financial and professional services, restaurants and cafes, drinking establishments, hot food takeaways, and business uses (within Part A and Class B1 of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, as amended).

This policy intends to provide a positive framework to encourage new developments across a wide range of uses appropriate to the village centres to support their vitality and role as employment centres. It complements policy E1 in recognising the differing roles played by each centre and the criteria that new developments must satisfy. Whilst small units of a size similar to existing units would normally be appropriate in principle for such uses, subject to the above tests, larger development proposals will also be subject to the policies of the Solihull Local Plan, having regard to their status and role as retail centres within the Borough.

Pictures of the Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath village centres are included on the cover pages of this Plan to provide the reader with a sense of place.



Sainsbury's - new development in 2015 reviving the heart of Dorridge

#### 12.4. Policy E3: Business Centre

Proposals for the erection, or change of use, of a building to provide a business centre will be granted provided that:

- adequate car parking and servicing is available
- retail uses are precluded
- the premises are in a suitable location in relation to neighbouring uses
- there are no material effects on residential amenity
- there is no material harm to the appearance and character of the area.

Acceptable uses within the Business Centre will be Class B1 of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987. The preferred location would be in or adjoining an existing village centre.

Residents in Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath are supportive of a business centre which could include low-cost, flexible workspaces, meeting rooms, communications technology and managed services for local start-ups, small enterprises, freelancers and home workers.

#### 12.5. Policy E4: Working from Home

Planning applications that would enable working from home will be supported where there would be no unacceptable harm to the residential amenities of nearby properties or to the character and appearance of the area.

The residents of KDBH are in favour of working from home and see this as a form of employment to be promoted and encouraged. In most cases, working from home would not amount to a “material change of use” and would not need planning permission. However, there will be instances where running a business from home constitutes a new use requiring planning permission. In these circumstances, the main considerations are noise and disturbance. This could arise from the operation of any equipment or from the comings and goings, including parking, of people calling at the premises. Where no significant concerns arise, working from home is to be welcomed.

## Section 13: Communications Infrastructure and Utilities

The policy drivers and target outcomes are to:

- Improve broadband and mobile phone reception
- Ensure that the services and communications infrastructures meet the needs of the population, support businesses and are future-proofed
- Achieve high standards of water management and avoiding flooding.

This gives rise to the following policy goal.

### 13.1. Infrastructure - Policy Goal

Infrastructure and utilities for energy, communications and water drainage shall meet the needs of users and be secured in a way that is friendly to the environment. They shall be planned so as to keep pace with advances in technology and innovation. Communications infrastructure will support the digital economy and facilitate working from home.

### 13.2. Policy U1: Mobile Phone and Broadband Infrastructure

The provision of mobile phone masts and other telephony or communications transmission or receiving equipment will be permitted provided that, either alone or in combination, they are not materially harmful to the character or appearance of the area within which they are located. Measures that allow for the provision of a good mobile phone service to every new home or business from more than one provider will be supported provided that this does not lead to an unacceptable proliferation of equipment above ground. Through the provision of ducts and draw pits, allowance shall be made for the installation of fibre optic cables to every new home and business.

Sharing of masts by different telecommunications providers will be encouraged.

Many residents in KDBH have recorded a poor mobile phone or broadband service. Good mobile telephony and fast broadband speeds are an essential aspect of modern living. They support working from home and make a vital contribution to the digital economy as well as reducing the need to travel. Measures that support improved provision will be encouraged and supported.


Providers will be expected to share equipment, where practical, but new and replacement transmission or receiving equipment will be approved in the circumstances set out in the policy. Multiple equipment in any particular area should be avoided as should the provision of equipment where it would result in or compound an unacceptable clutter of street furniture.

Issues raised in relation to water management, flooding and drainage are addressed in the Solihull Local Plan.

## Appendix 1: Housing Density Map

### KDBH Estimated Housing Density Examples 31/05/17

Area	Streets	Age	Dwellings	Hectares	Density/ha
1	Wychwood Ave/Broadfern Rd/Holland Ave	1950	193	15.90	12.14
2	Longdon Rd/Tilehouse Green La/Purnells Way	1950/70	520	26.74	19.45
3	Milverton Rd/Warwick Rd/Station Rd(from bus stop to Arden School)	1930	101	6.18	16.34
4	Station Rd (From Arden School to roundabout)	1950	97	9.31	10.42
5	Middlefield Development	2017	110	2.86	38.46
6	Tilehouse Green La/Browns La/Widney Rd	1980	461	30.37	15.18
7	Slater Rd/Packwood Close/Hurst Green Rd/Mill La	1950/60	446	19.15	23.29
8	Poplar Rd/Hanbury Rd/Edstone Close	1950/60	248	14.38	17.25
9	Glendon Way/Pembridge Rd/Chadworth Ave/Manor Rd (to Conker Lane)	1990	353	20.63	17.11
10	Rodborough Rd/Besbury Close/Woodchester	1950	202	18.82	10.73
11	Avenue Rd/Knowle Wood Rd/Dorrige Rd	1930/50/70	183	22.39	8.17
12	Mill La/Buckminster/Manton/Four Ashes	1930/50/51	294	12.13	24.24
13	St Johns/Lodge Rd/Station Rd		142	3.72	38.20
14	Fennis Close/Copstone Dr/Station Rd		74	1.91	38.70



## Appendix 2: Opportunities and Key Issues

Drawing on all the evidence base, the table below summarises feedback on the opportunities and challenges facing the KDBH community.

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Separation of the villages from Solihull and other settlements</li> <li>• Village feel</li> <li>• Easy access to the open countryside</li> <li>• Conservation Areas</li> <li>• Low density housing</li> <li>• Open space in the built area</li> <li>• Green streetscape</li> <li>• Features such as low boundary walls/hedging</li> <li>• Excellent schools</li> <li>• Independent shops</li> <li>• Quality of property</li> <li>• Quality of built environment</li> <li>• Good transport</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of parking both on and off street</li> <li>• Commuter and school parking</li> <li>• Traffic flow at peak times</li> <li>• Infrastructure not keeping pace with new developments</li> <li>• Poor design of new developments (large and small) in relation to existing</li> <li>• Lack of design for practical elements such as bin storage, parking, garages</li> <li>• New developments not taking ground levels into account</li> <li>• Boundary treatments, particularly the edge to the open countryside</li> <li>• Over-reliance on car transport</li> <li>• Lack of cycling provision</li> <li>• Poor mobile phone and broadband coverage and its effect on business</li> <li>• Limited youth facilities</li> <li>• Housing market 'stickiness' due to lack of homes suitable for downsizing from large properties</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reinforce the special character of the three villages</li> <li>• Deliver improved infrastructure (community, recreation and transportation)</li> <li>• Deliver better quality schemes</li> <li>• Schemes to be taken to Design Review</li> <li>• Build tenure blind developments</li> <li>• Retain hedgerows and boundary treatments and replicate in new development</li> <li>• Encourage retail uses</li> <li>• Deliver improved services and infrastructure for young people and the elderly</li> <li>• Address the need for dementia homes and starter homes</li> <li>• Protect and enhance community meeting places as hubs of village life</li> <li>• Enhance leisure and recreational facilities</li> <li>• Protect existing employment sites</li> <li>• Consider allocating land for creation of a business centre</li> <li>• Promote maintenance and improvement of green spaces</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposed scale and density of new development adversely affecting village character and infrastructure</li> <li>• Erosion of separation of the villages from other settlements</li> <li>• Community has no say in release/use of Green Belt</li> <li>• Loss of Green Belt and natural landscape</li> <li>• Lack of delivery of infrastructure or poor timing</li> <li>• Meeting local housing need for younger people starting out and for downsizing</li> <li>• Over development of both infill plots and large plots.</li> <li>• Poor design of development</li> <li>• Balancing land efficiency v green belt character</li> <li>• Inappropriate traffic schemes/junction works eg traffic lights</li> <li>• Existing rights of way/access to countryside being diverted and closed in by panel fences</li> <li>• Change of use affecting retail economy</li> <li>• Increased housing and external demand threatening capacity and community priority access to local schools</li> </ul>



## Appendix 3: Community Actions

In developing the Neighbourhood Plan, local residents and businesses have raised a range of issues not related to land use. Where these are important local matters, they have been included here as Community Actions.



Community Actions do not have statutory weight, but are nevertheless matters that the KDBH Forum wishes to pursue in collaboration with our Residents Associations, local businesses, Councillors, Solihull Council, other community groups, and relevant organisations. The latter might variously include GP Practices and other healthcare providers, schools and their Boards of Governors, Warwickshire Wildlife Trust, Friends of the Earth, local rambles' groups, cycling groups, transport user groups and infrastructure service providers.

The list is ambitious and needs community involvement to prioritise according to preferences and availability of resources.

### Village Character and Natural Environment

#### 1 Measures to **protect and enhance Knowle Conservation Area:**

- a. Review of "Article 4 directions" with a view to withdrawing further permitted development rights, where appropriate, in the Conservation Area. Consider whether this should also apply to Dorridge Conservation Areas.
- b. Prepare development briefs for the opportunity sites identified in the Knowle Conservation Area Appraisal.
- c. Consider opportunities for enhancement, particularly in the area between High Street and St John's Close.
- d. Review the list of listed buildings (in partnership with Historic England) to include buildings of local interest.
- e. Consider further work in the High Street area to include traffic calming, pedestrian priority and environmental enhancement.

#### 2 Review heritage assets in conjunction with SMBC.

#### 3 Draw up a **Tree Management Plan** to provide a management regime for existing trees and plan ahead for future planting needs.

#### 4 Promote a **Green Spaces Plan** directed at managing the future needs of existing green spaces, promoting new green spaces in new developments and providing more rigorous policing of littering, dog-fouling and fly tipping in and around green open spaces. Also review maintenance regimes to ensure that existing public sports, leisure and recreation facilities are maintained to their existing standard or, where possible, improved.

#### 5 Explore the possibility of **measuring air pollution levels** on M42 Junctions 4 – 6. Use results to inform responses to new development proposals. Monitor the effects of new development on the local built and natural environments and on residents' living conditions over the Plan Period.

### Traffic and Transport

#### 1 **Parking:** press SMBC to review the following:

- a. On-street parking in selected streets with the overarching objective that roads of local importance (see Policy T8 for definition) and bus routes should be free from on-street parking, with limited exceptions, to facilitate highway safety and free flow of traffic.
- b. Resident/visitor parking permits in streets where off-street parking is not provided for residents.
- c. Effective policing by SMBC of off/on-street parking to reduce unauthorised parking.
- d. The need for Traffic Regulation Orders in key locations where on-street parking or traffic priorities need to be changed, especially at St John's Close and the adjacent car parks.

#### 2 **Walking:** Review the condition of all footpaths and pavements/footways in KDBH and call for SMBC/landowners (as appropriate) to repair defects.

#### 3 **Cycling:**

- a. Review the condition of all cycle paths/lanes/tracks in KDBH and call for SMBC/landowners (as appropriate) to repair defects.
- b. Lobby for the creation of separate cycle routes in appropriate places, that may include in public parks, to encourage cycling.



## Appendix 3: Community Actions

### Traffic and Transport (continued)

#### 4 Roads:

- a. Review the condition of public roads and road signage in KDBH and call for SMBC to repair defects and to clean/clear obscured signage.
- b. Identify roads that lend themselves to one-way systems, draw up proposals and consult on their introduction. An example would be the triangle of Knowle High Street, Station Road and Lodge Road. Resident's feedback suggests that an investigation into the feasibility of this as a one-way system should be encouraged.
- c. Identify roads that lend themselves to traffic calming measures, draw up proposals and consult on their introduction.
- d. Identify roads where speed needs to be reduced, draw up proposals and consult on their introduction.
- e. Consider the feasibility and desirability of a route to take through traffic out of the centre of Knowle.

#### 5 Public Transport: campaign for improvements to include:-

- a. Increased frequency of bus and rail services, and introduction of additional routes, to provide a more efficient and effective service to more destinations.
- b. Sufficient bus shelters and real time bus information at bus stops.
- c. Good value public transport and easier ways to buy travel tickets - e.g. Oyster style cards, contactless card payment on bus/train
- d. Creation of sufficient off-street parking spaces in Dorridge for rail users.

#### In addition, residents wish to:

- e. Ensure that Dorridge station remains staffed and continues to enjoy high frequency direct services to Birmingham and London
- f. Make KDBH's voice heard inside the West Midlands Combined Authority and other regional transport bodies.
- g. Explore the possibility of KDBH hosting a pilot/trial of driverless vehicles e.g. for school run, or an "Uber" style on-demand taxi/bus service (could be useful for certain user groups such as pensioners.)

### Communications Infrastructure and Utilities

- a. Identify areas where mobile phone and broadband coverage is poor and lobby providers to improve their infrastructure.
- b. Campaign for essential fibre to become possible for every home in KDBH.
- c. Identify areas where flooding has occurred in the past, or where surface water tends to collect during periods of wet weather, and lobby SMBC or STW as relevant to carry out remedial work for which they are responsible (e.g. clearing roadside ditches).

### Education and Community Facilities

- a. Press for ongoing appropriate access to schools within the KDBH Area for local young people within the Area.
- b. Support initiatives that improve the planning and coordination of, and additional provision for, local pre-school and childcare.
- c. Support schemes intended to increase the number of healthcare professionals working in the Area providing services to the KDBH population.
- d. Support schemes intended to increase the consistency of healthcare services in the Area and/or reduce the need for travel.
- e. Encourage the establishment of a local base, or bases, for lifelong learning opportunities.
- f. Encourage provision of additional resources, including voluntary staffing, to maintain and further develop learning centres, libraries and other points of community access to information, knowledge and cultural resources.

## Appendix 4: Local List of Heritage Assets

### Introduction

This Local List of Heritage Assets describes all the locally listed buildings of special architectural or historic interest in KDBH. More recent additions have fuller descriptions, but this does not indicate greater significance, it merely reflects the more detailed description of statutorily listed heritage assets seen since 2000. These heritage assets make an important contribution to the character of KDBH, whilst not being of sufficient importance to merit inclusion on the statutory list of buildings of special architectural or historic merit held by the Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport.

Heritage assets with a reference number are those that were transferred to the list at the abolition of grade 3 listed buildings in 1974. Those without a reference number have been added since that date. All are Locally Listed and the lack of a reference does not imply any distinction between them.

Because these heritage assets form such a significant part of Solihull's heritage, the Council, when considering planning applications for their alteration or extension, will always wish to ensure that their special character is retained.

The statutory list of buildings of special architectural or historic interest in Solihull can be consulted in Solihull Connect, Central Library, Solihull. List entries can be accessed online through the Historic England website under the National Heritage List. Photographs of many are found at Images of England, also on the Historic England website.

### Dorridge

#### DoE Ref.

#### **5/396 - Parkfield, Arden Drive**

1869 in Jacobean style. 2 storeys & attics. Red brick with lavish stone dressings, tiled roof, central 4 storey tower with octagonal plan ogee shaped cupola. Symmetrical design with 2 Dutch gables above 2 storey canted bays flanking central arched doorway under semi-circular oriel bow window. Wood mullion & transom casements

#### **3/406 - Laurel Cottage, Four Ashes Road**

C17, altered. Whitewashed roughcast, tiled roof with 2 gabled dormers. 1 storey & attics, modern casements. Gabled closed porch. Some timber framework exposed.

#### **5/409 - The Railway Public House, Grange Road**

C18, early C19. Red brick, partly cement rendered & whitewashed. Old tiled roofs of 3 separate ridges, corbelled brick cornices. Block on right has 2 sash windows to first floor, canted bay & casement to ground floor, all with glazing bars. Recessed block on left has 2 flush first floor casements, wide ground floor lattice casement under tiled roof. Late C19 back wing.

#### **3/466 - 462 Station Road**

Early C19, formerly an inn. Red brick. Welsh slated roof with 2 gables to sides. 2 storeys, 3 casement windows flush set under cambered arches. Door & oblong fanlight with glazing bars in surround under hood on consoles.

**5/492 - Windmill House, Windmill Lane**

Early C19, with south west front much altered. Whitewashed pebbledash, hipped low-pitched Welsh slated roof. 2 storeys, 3 sash windows with glazing bars.

**5/96 - 4 Vicarage Road, Packwood**

Known as Packwood Smithy, now incorporated in adjoining smith's cottage. Red brick, old tiled roofs. Modern flush wooden casement windows with glazing bars. New roof truss to smithy & window in place of doorway.

**St. Philip's Church, Manor Road**

Parish church, red brick nave, 1878, by E J Payne, sandstone ashlar chancel, Lady Chapel and vestry, 1896-7, by J A Chatwin. Steeply pitched plain tiled nave roof, brick buttresses, open porch on oak posts and dwarf wall has carved bargeboards and timber bellcote. South west nave window of sandstone mullions and tracery, three lights with cusped heads, quatrefoil panels, rubbed brick pointed arch with sandstone key block. Chancel five light north east window, cusped ogee head lower lights, stone tracery to heads. Welsh slate chancel, Lady Chapel and vestry roofs. Lady Chapel has four light east window with semi-circular hood mould, trefoil heads, simple chamfered reveals. Sandstone chimney to vestry boiler room. Chatwin building has ornate cast rainwater hoppers. Interior - exposed pine trusses with queen posts, moulded sandstone door surrounds, pointed arches, heavy studded oak doors with ornate ironmongery, sandstone order arches on columns with carved capitals and chamfered plinths, stained glass and mosaic tiled floors. Pine pews with carved ends, some with carved animals. Principal subscriptions from locally important Muntz and Wykeham-Martin families.

## Knowle

**DoE Ref.****3/412 - East Barn, Grove Farm, Grove Road**

C18. Red brick with battened buttresses. Timber frame of roof truss exposed on gable ends.

1 storey height, 2 bays long.

**3/413 - Northwest Barn, Grove Farm, Grove Road**

Late C17 or early C18. Timber frame, red brick nogging, old tiled roof. 2 bays long.

**20/418 - Greswolde Hotel, 1657 High Street**

Main central block has mid-C19 gabled stucco front with ornamental bargeboards. 2 storeys & attics, 2 casements with glazing bars, pointed arched lights. Ground floor pair of canted bay windows flanking central door under hood. Later wings.

**20/419 - Outbuildings behind 1677 High Street – Demolished pre 2012**

C17. Timber framed with red brick nogging. Old tiled roof.

**20/421 - 1707 High Street**

Mid-C19. Red brick, hipped machine tiled roof with corbelled cornice. 2 storeys, shop front in Georgian style. 1st floor sashes under rusticated stucco arch & keyblock.

**DoE Ref.**

**20/425 - 1632 - 1636 High Street**

Early C19. Colourwashed brick, old tiled hipped roof. 2 storeys, corbelled cornice.

Modern shops, 4 first floor sashes with glazing bars under cornices on consoles.

**20/428 - School, Kenilworth Road**

Late C19. Polychromatic brick, Gothic style, 1 storey, 5 arched windows, 2 gabled porches.

**20/429 - 29 - 35 Kenilworth Road**

Early timber framed house much altered but with framework exposed at angle between nos. 31 & 33. Red brick C19 refacing, old & machine tiled roofs. Recessed centre, gables projecting either end. 2 storeys, 1:2:1 casement windows. No 33 has C19 ribbed door surround with cornice on consoles.

**20/430 - The Old Barn House, Kenilworth Road**

C17. Timber frame, tiled roof, red brick nogging. 1 storey & attics, modern casement windows, 6 gabled dormers. House converted from barn.

**20/431 - 30 Kenilworth Road**

Mid-C19. Red brick. Welsh slated roof. 2 storeys, 3 flush casement windows with glazing bars under cambered arches. 6-panel door & oblong fanlight in ribbed surround under cornice on consoles.

**20/432 - 62 - 68 Kenilworth Road**

Early C19, altered. Red brick, mansard old tiled roof with tiled coped side gables, corbelled brick cornice. 2 storeys & attics, casements under cambered arches, 3 gabled dormers to back elevation. Nos. 66 & 68 cement rendered & colourwashed.

**20/438 - 96 - 98 Kenilworth Road**

C18. Red brick, old tiled roof with 2 gabled dormers. 1 storey & attics, 3 casements.

**3/470 - 110 Tilehouse Green Lane**

C17 timber framed cottage with colourwashed cement rendering, tiled roof. 2 storeys, lattice casement windows. Modern porch & red brick wing.

**3/471 - 52 - 54 Tilehouse Green Lane**

C17, considerably altered but retaining some original timber framework. Newer walling of brick. Tiled roofs. Casement windows.

**3/476 - 1021 Warwick Road**

Early C19. Red brick, old tiled roof. 1 storey & attics, 3 gabled dormers. 3 flush casement windows with glazing bars under cambered arches. Corbelled brick cornice

**21/478 - 1405A Warwick Road**

Former outbuildings to 1405. Whitewashed brick. Welsh slates. 2 storeys, casement windows.

**Grand Union Locks, Wharf and Road Bridge, south of Kenilworth Road, Knowle**

Flight of five locks on Grand Union Canal. Wharf south of Kenilworth Road. C18th locks now overflows, 1930s wider locks parallel to west. Built for Warwick and Birmingham

Canal. Blue brick chambers, English bond, fine joints. Some sandstone cill blocks, chamfered below C18th locks. Early oak sections near waterline in early chambers. Iron posts in early locks, dated 1901 and 1912, marked GK (Guest Keen foundry pre-expansion as GKN in early C20th). Flat stone copings, pavement in concrete. 'Ginny ring' or blue brick kickers through arc of beams set in blue brick or concrete. Mitred timber gates, with repairs. New oak balance beams to top two locks, steel to lower three, all painted black with white end cap. Gate paddle gears in cast iron housings, 'candlesticks' painted black and white. Housings by Ham Baker and Co. foundry, Westminster. Black iron dolly bollards with white caps to lock-side. White metal handrails to chamber side brick stair. 1930s modifications in pre-fabricated concrete sections, then relatively new. Blue brick chambers in English bond, few patches of red C18th brickwork at water line. Some sandstone cill blocks, and quoins to C18th chambers, some rusticated. Bridge of red brick to arch mid point, blue brick above to bull nose parapet. Wide blue brick buttresses with rectangular stone caps. Southern face brick soldier course of arch and interior face cement rendered. South edge to footpath guarded by white iron rails in black iron posts. Wharf building C19th painted brick, cantilevered roof over cut. Blue brick floor, original rectangular plan. C20th roof frame and cover does not detract from this fundamental asymmetrical and "fit for purpose" character. Wharf edge at building and to north of sandstone with evocative wear-and-tear. Weighbridge scales house of dark red brick, English bond. Plain clay tile modern roof. Iron weighbridge plate to front by George Pooley and Sons (cast at Birmingham foundry?). Stables and pump house at Bottom Lock Cottage C19th century and early C20th respectively. Red and blue brick respectively, English bond. Plain clay tiled roofs. Typical ancillary buildings valuable to overall canalscape, well maintained. Listed grade 2 1984, deleted from list 1985, Historic England declined to re-list 2009.

#### **DoE Ref.**

#### **3/440 - Far End Cottage, Kixley Lane**

C19 in traditional local manner. Red brick, tiled roof. 2 storeys, casement windows.

#### **3/441 - 31 - 33 Lodge Road**

C17. Remains of timber framework, replaced in parts by red bricks. Tiled roof. 1 storey & attics, casement windows. Lean-to addition to front on left.

#### **3/442 - 119 Lodge Road**

C17, much altered. Whitewashed plaster, machine tiled roof with 3 gabled dormers. 1 storey & attics, 2 casement bow windows & gabled porch. Timber framed 1 storey wing on right.

#### **20/444 - 44 - 52 Station Road**

C17 & C18. Red brick, old tiled roofs. Cement rendered gable to road. 3 gabled dormers. 1 storey & attics, casement windows with glazing bars. Some timber framework to Nos. 48 - 52. Brick buttresses to side wall of Nos. 44 & 46 & part of 48

#### **20/445 - 70 - 72 Station Road**

Early to mid- C19. Red brick. Welsh slated roof with bracketed eaves. 2 storeys, 1 sash window with glazing bars, 1 modern casement. Fluted door surrounds of Doric pilasters, ornamented entablatures, cornice hoods.

#### **20/447 20 - 24 Wilson's Road**

1841. Red brick, old tiled roof. 2 storeys. Casement windows nearly flush with glazing bars, ground floor cambered arches & keystones to windows & doors.

## Heritage Assets Glossary

The following is a list of architectural terms and words used throughout this document and their meaning.

Architrave	the lintel extending from one column or pier to another, or the moulded frame surrounding a door or window
Bargeboards	sloping boards as a decoration along a gable
Battered Buttress	sloping projection from a wall to create additional strength
Cambered	curved
Chamfered reveals	side of opening in a wall, cut at an angle, between the framework and outer face of a wall
Console	an ornamented bracket, of greater height than projection
Corbel	stone or wooden bracket jutting from wall face as support for a structural feature
Cornice	projecting course of masonry at top of a building
Coursed rubble	rough stone walling laid in a continuous level range of stones
Cusp	ornamental feature projecting from the inner curve of a Gothic arch
Diaper	diamond shaped
Doorhood	covering of wood or stone over a door
Doric	earliest of Greek Orders of Architecture, comprising a column with base, capital and entablature
Dripmould	moulding over door or window
Entablature	part of building structure above a column that includes the cornice, frieze and architrave
Foil	a small area in Gothic tracery. Foils are separated by cusps
Frieze	ornamental band, especially below cornice
Keyblock	central wedge-shaped stone at crown of an arch
Lattice casement	vertically hung window, with lozenge shaped leaded lights
Mullion	vertical bar of a window
Nogging	brickwork in a wooden frame
Oriel	a large window that projects from a wall
Pilaster	shallow pier projecting from face of wall
Quatrefoil	a decorative form of four foils and cusps
Rusticate	to give a rough surface
Segmental	an arch which is only part of a semicircle
Stucco	calcareous cement or plaster
Transom	horizontal pier dividing a window
Truss	combination of timbers to form a frame

## Appendix 5: Examples of Poor Housing Development

### Introduction

Poor use of topography where taller three storey building is built above lower two storey houses.

Taller building overpowers the smaller one with total lack of privacy for rear gardens.

Unattractive fencing.





## Parking problems

Four Ashes - Space outside garage is insufficient to park whilst accessing garage.

Hampton Road - Parked cars obstruct pavement as parking area outside garage is too small.



Hampton Road - Main access road is not wide enough for cars to pass each other.

Hampton Road - Evidence of pavement parking due to insufficient width of road.



## Appendix 6: Policy D2 Design in Conservation Areas

This Appendix provides a summary of the key principles that apply to the design of shop fronts and advertisements in Conservation Areas as referred to in Policy D2.


### Advertisements

- Advertisements in conservation areas should be restrained in terms of their size, colour materials and appearance and should relate well to the design and appearance of the building upon which they are to be displayed.
- Any proposed method of illumination should be either internal or very discreet in its design. Fascia-length internally illuminated box signs and any plastic blinds will generally be considered inappropriate in an historic context. Such applications will be refused.
- Free standing poster-type signs will generally be considered inappropriate in conservation areas; if allowed, they should not compromise the setting and appearance of the area and should be located discreetly.
- Lettering on new signage should ideally be no more than 300mm in height in sensitive conservation area settings or where displayed on listed buildings, although the Council will consider each proposal on its individual merits. The Council will encourage the use of non-illuminated individual letters in very sensitive locations, such as on listed buildings.
- Projecting illuminated signs in plastic or metal will not generally be allowed in conservation area settings.
- In some circumstances, small, well designed and non-illuminated hanging signs, made of wood and with an appropriate bracket, may be judged to be more sensitive to the setting and will be considered more favourably.

### Shop Fronts and Display Windows


- Surviving shop fronts of merit should be retained where possible. Early 20th century shop fronts can be as unusual as 18th or 19th century examples. Features of value include blinds in blind boxes, shutters in shutter boxes against an upright, recessed doorways and stall-risers and are sometimes concealed behind later facings.
- Shop Blinds and Security Grills: Retractable apron blinds in canvas are often characteristic features of historic shop fronts and should be retained. Modern plastic canopies are not acceptable in conservation areas. External steel roller shutters are not appropriate for historic shop fronts. Traditional wooden shutters give protection and laminated glass and internally set chain-link screens are modern alternatives. Traditional stall risers are an effective deterrent to “ram raiders”, as are small shop windows between masonry piers.
- New shop fronts should be designed to be in sympathy with the rest of the elevation and should incorporate any ground floor details of interest. Large inserted plate-glass shop fronts without any visual support for the upper parts of the premises can have an unfortunate effect and shop fronts should not extend into the storey above or alter the proportions of first floor windows. The fascia board should be in scale with the building as a whole, and should be finished at the top with console brackets and a cornice or other capping. Not only is this traditional, but the cornice provides an architectural division between the modern shop front and the older upper floors.
- It is often unnecessary to provide display windows and thus alter a ground floor that is otherwise intact. Alterations should be minimal. Standard corporate shop fronts are seldom appropriate for historic buildings, nor are internally illuminated fascia boxes, although some forms of restrained halo-type illumination to fascia signage may be more acceptable.

## Appendix 7: Main Transport Links in KDBH






## Dorridge Village Centre



## Bentley Heath Village Centre



## Appendix 9: Glossary

Acronym	Subject	Explanation
KDBH		Villages of Knowle, Dorridge and Bentley Heath
	Affordable Housing	Affordable housing includes social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing, provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. It does not include low cost market housing.
	Biodiversity	The variety of life in the world or in a particular habitat or ecosystem.
CIL	Community Infrastructure Levy	A flat rate levy that is non negotiable. If a development is CIL liable, then 25% of that money raised will be made available by the Council to be spent on local projects of the community's choosing.
	Conservation Area	An area designated by the District Council under Section 69 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as an area of special architectural or historical interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance.
	Green Belt	Designated land around a town or city to be kept permanently open and where there is a strong presumption against inappropriate development.
	Infill	The filling of a small gap in an otherwise built-up frontage or on other sites within settlements where the site is closely surrounded by buildings.
	Infrastructure	All the ancillary works and services which are necessary to support human activities, including roads, sewers, schools, hospitals, etc.
	Intermediate Affordable Housing	Housing at prices and rents above those of social rent, but below market price or rents. These can include shared equity products (e.g. Home-Buy), other low cost homes for sale and intermediate rent.
	Listed Buildings	Buildings and structures which are listed by the Department for Culture, Media and Sport as being of special architectural and historic interest and whose protection and maintenance is the subject of special legislation.
	Major Residential Developments	Ten or more dwellings or sites of 0.5 hectares or more for residential development.
	(Open) Market Housing	Private housing for rent or for sale, where the price is set in the open market.
NP	NP Neighbourhood Plan	A plan forming part of the development plan prepared by Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council.
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework	A document setting out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied.
	Pepper-Potting	Helping to integrate housing of a particular tenure (eg affordable housing) into the community by spreading it around a development and avoiding obvious concentrations of that tenure.
	Social Rented (Affordable) Housing	Rented housing owned and managed by local authorities and registered social landlords, for which guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime.
	Tenure Blind	Where, as a result of careful design, properties in different tenures or ownerships (eg affordable housing and market housing) cannot be distinguished from one another.

# Bentley Heath Village Centre



# Dorridge Village Centre

